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## Introduction and Motivation

Elastography is an imaging modality that can distinguish materials by their biomechanical properties [1]. Doctors use palpation to detect abnormal tissues, which motivates the development of quantitative elasticity imaging.

## Problem: Identify the Lamé parameters from displacement measurements.

## Mathematical Model

Given a bounded, open and connected set $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, N=2$, 3, with Lipschitz continuous boundary $\partial \Omega=\overline{\Gamma_{D} \cup \Gamma_{T}}, \Gamma_{D} \cap \Gamma_{T}=\varnothing$, meas $\left(\Gamma_{D}\right)>0$ and body forces $f$, prescribed displacement $g_{D}$, surface traction $g_{T}$ and Lamé parameters $\lambda$ and $\mu$, the homogenized equations of linearized elasticity with displacement-traction boundary conditions are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\operatorname{div}(\sigma(u)) & =f+\operatorname{div}(\sigma(\Phi)), \quad \text { in } \Omega, \\
\left.u\right|_{\Gamma_{D}} & =0, \\
\left.\sigma(u) \vec{n}\right|_{\Gamma_{T}} & =g_{T}-\left.\sigma(\Phi) \vec{n}\right|_{\Gamma_{T}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\vec{n}$ is an outward unit normal, $\Phi$ is a function such that $\left.\Phi\right|_{\Gamma_{D}}=g_{D}$, the strain tensor $\mathscr{E}$ and the stress tensor $\sigma$ defining the stress-strain relation in $\Omega$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{E}(u):=\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla u+\nabla u^{T}\right), \quad \sigma(u):=2 \mu \mathscr{E}(u)+\lambda \operatorname{div}(u) I \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Inverse Problem

The linearized elasticity problem (1) in the weak form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{\lambda, \mu}(u, v)=l(v)-a_{\lambda, \mu}(\Phi, v), \quad \forall v \in V \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the linear and the bilinear forms

$$
\begin{gather*}
l(v):=\langle f, v\rangle+\left\langle g_{T}, v\right\rangle  \tag{4}\\
a_{\lambda, \mu}(u, v):=\int_{\Omega}(\lambda \operatorname{div}(u) \operatorname{div}(v)+2 \mu \mathscr{E}(u): \mathscr{E}(v)) d x . \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

The nonlinear operator called parameter-to-solution map is

$$
\begin{equation*}
F: \mathscr{D}(F):=\left\{(\lambda, \mu) \in H^{2}(\Omega)^{2} \mid \lambda \geq 0, \mu \geq \underline{\mu}>0\right\} \subset H^{2}(\Omega)^{2} \rightarrow L^{2}(\Omega)^{N} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
(\lambda, \mu) \mapsto u(\lambda, \mu)
$$

where $u(\lambda, \mu)$ is the solution of (3), then our inverse problem is:
Problem. Given $f, g_{D}, \Phi, g_{T}$ and a measurement $u^{\delta}$ of the true displacement field $u$ satisfying $\left\|u-u^{\delta}\right\| \leq \delta$, compute an approximation of the Lamé parameters $\lambda$ and $\mu$, which satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\lambda, \mu)=u \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Derivative and Adjoint

In the alternative form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\lambda, \mu)=A_{\lambda, \mu}^{-1}\left(l-\tilde{A}_{\lambda, \mu} \Phi\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the operator $\tilde{A}_{\lambda, \mu}$ connected to the bilinear form $a_{\lambda, \mu}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{A}_{\lambda, \mu}: u \mapsto\left(v \mapsto a_{\lambda, \mu}(u, v)\right), \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $A$ is its restriction to $V$, i.e., $A:=\left.\tilde{A}\right|_{V}$.
Theorem 1. F is a well-defined, continuously Fréchet differentiable operator satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime}(\lambda, \mu)\left(h_{\lambda}, h_{\mu}\right)=-A_{\lambda, \mu}^{-1}\left(A_{h_{\lambda}, h_{\mu}} u(\lambda, \mu)+\tilde{A}_{h_{\lambda}, h_{\mu}} \Phi\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2. The adjoint of the Fréchet derivative of $F$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime}(\lambda, \mu)^{*} w=\binom{E\left(\operatorname{div}(u(\lambda, \mu)+\Phi) \operatorname{div}\left(-A_{\lambda, \mu}^{-1} T w\right)\right)}{E\left(2 \mathscr{E}(u(\lambda, \mu)+\Phi): \mathscr{E}\left(-A_{\lambda, \mu}^{-1} T w\right)\right)}^{T} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ and $E$ are defined by

$$
T: w \mapsto\left(v \mapsto \int_{\Omega} w \cdot v d x\right), \quad\langle E u, v\rangle=\int_{\Omega} u v d x
$$

## Reconstruction Algorithm

Landweber type gradient methods with the abbreviation $x_{k}^{\delta}=\left(\lambda_{k}^{\delta}, \mu_{k}^{\delta}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{k+1}^{\delta}=x_{k}^{\delta}+\omega_{k}^{\delta}\left(x_{k}^{\delta}\right) s_{k}^{\delta}\left(x_{k}^{\delta}\right), \quad s_{k}^{\delta}(x):=F^{\prime}(x)^{*}\left(u^{\delta}-F(x)\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for the stepsize $\omega_{k}^{\delta}$ we use both the steepest descent stepsize

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{k}^{\delta}(x):=\left\|s_{k}^{\delta}(x)\right\|^{2} /\left\|F^{\prime}(x) s_{k}^{\delta}(x)\right\|^{2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the recently introduced [2] stepsize

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{k}^{\delta}(x):=\left((1-\eta)\left\|u^{\delta}-F(x)\right\|^{2}-\delta\left\|u^{\delta}-F(x)\right\|(1+\eta)\right) /\left\|s_{k}^{\delta}(x)\right\|^{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta$ is a nonlinearity parameter. As a stopping rule, we employ the wellknown discrepancy principle. For speeding up the methods, we employ Nesterov's acceleration strategy, the modified iteration is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{k}^{\delta}=x_{k}^{\delta}+\frac{k-1}{k+2}\left(x_{k}^{\delta}-x_{k-1}^{\delta}\right), \quad x_{k+1}^{\delta}=x_{k}^{\delta}+\omega_{k}^{\delta}\left(z_{k}^{\delta}\right) s_{k}^{\delta}\left(z_{k}^{\delta}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Numerical Results

The exact Lamé parameters and the homogenized displacement field:


Reconstruction with steepest descent stepsize (13) and Nesterov acceleration (1\% noise):


Reconstruction with Neubauer's new stepsize (14) and Nesterov acceleration (1\% noise):


The displacement field from the physical experiment:


Reconstruction with steepest descent stepsize (13) and Nesterov acceleration:


## Conclusions \& Outlook

- We proposed an operator formulation for the nonlinear inverse problem of linearized elasticity and presented numerical simulations based on Landweber type gradient methods combined with Nesterov acceleration.
- A concise convergence analysis of the employed algorithms as well as their improvement and application to further real world problems will be topics of future research.
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