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## basic setting

- Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 3$, set $N:=\{1, \ldots, n\}$.
- A partition $(I, J)$ of $N$ where both cardinality of $I$ and $J$ are at least 2 is called a cut (of $M_{n}$ ).
- This talk focus on the Chow ring of $M_{n}$, where $M_{n}$ is the moduli space of stable n-pointed curves of genus zero.
- Denote $\delta_{l, J}$ as the class of a cut subvariety $D_{l, J}$ of $M_{n}$.
- We will not focus on the details of $M_{n}$, what is important for this talk is the properties of this Chow ring.
- We denote the Chow ring of $M_{n}$ as $A^{*}(n)$.


## properties of $A^{*}(n)$

- It is a graded ring, we have $A^{*}(n)=\bigoplus_{k=0}^{n-3} A^{k}(n)$; and these homogeneous components are defined as Chow groups (of $\left.M_{n}\right)$. Here, for instance, we say $A^{r}(n)$ is a Chow group of dimension $r$.
- Fact1: $A^{r}(n)=\{0\}$ for $r>n-3$.
- Fact2: $A^{n-3}(n) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, we denote this isomorphism as $\int: A^{n-3}(n) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.
- $\left\{\delta_{I, J} \mid\{I, J\}\right.$ is a cut $\}$ is a set of generators for $A^{1}(n)$; hence they are also generators for $A^{*}(n)$.
- For simplicity, we call them generators in the later text.
- $\prod_{i=1}^{n-3} \delta_{l_{i}, J_{i}}$ can be viewed as an element in $A^{n-3}(n)$ since we are in a graded ring.
- Quadratic relations between the generators.
- Linear relations between the generators.


## Keel's quadratic relation

Among the generators of $A^{*}(n), \delta_{l_{1}, J_{1}} \cdot \delta_{l_{2}, J_{2}}=0$ and we say these two generators fulfill Keel's quadratic relation if the following conditions hold:

- $I_{1} \cap I_{2} \neq \emptyset$;
- $I_{1} \cap J_{2} \neq \emptyset$;
- $J_{1} \cap I_{2} \neq \emptyset$;
- $J_{1} \cap J_{2} \neq \emptyset$.

Easy example: When $n=5, \delta_{12 \mid 345} \cdot \delta_{13 \mid 245}=0$ but $\delta_{12 \mid 345}$ and $\delta_{123 \mid 45}$ does not fulfill this relation.

## Keel's linear relation

Denote $\epsilon_{i j \mid k l}:=\sum_{i, j \in I, k, l \in J} \delta_{l, J}$. Then we have the equality relations $\epsilon_{i j \mid k l}=\epsilon_{i| | k j}=\epsilon_{i k \mid j l}$, we call it Keel's linear relation.

## Example

When $n=6$, we have $\epsilon_{12 \mid 35}=\epsilon_{13 \mid 25}=\epsilon_{15 \mid 23}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{12,3456}+\delta_{124,356}+\delta_{126,345}+\delta_{1246,35} \\
= & \delta_{13,2456}+\delta_{134,256}+\delta_{136,245}+\delta_{1346,25} \\
= & \delta_{15,2346}+\delta_{145,236}+\delta_{156,234}+\delta_{1456,23}
\end{aligned}
$$

## motivation

- Many problems from yesterday's rigidity workshop can be reduced to computation of $\int \prod_{r=1}^{n-3} \epsilon_{i_{r} j_{r} \mid k_{r} l_{r}}$, subproblem of which is to compute $\int \prod_{r=1}^{n-3} \delta_{l_{r}, J_{r}}$.
- Denote $T:=\prod_{r=1}^{n-3} \delta_{l_{r}, J_{r}}$, we define the value of $T$ to be $\int\left(\prod_{r=1}^{n-3} \delta_{l_{r}, J_{r}}\right)$.
- A easy case is when two factors of the monomial fulfill Keel's quadratic relation; we simply get value zero because of Keel's quadratic relation.
- What if this is not the case?
- Now we only need to consider the monomials $T:=\prod_{i=1}^{n-3} \delta_{l_{i}, J_{i}}$ where no two factors fulfill Keel's quadratic relation; we call this type of monomials tree monomial since there is a one-to-one correspondence between these monomials and loaded tree with $n$ labels and $k$ edges. We come to the definition of these trees now.


## loaded tree

A loaded tree with $n$ labels and $k$ edges is a tree $(V, E)$ together with a labeling function $h$ from $V$ to the power set of $N$ such that the following conditions hold:

- Non-empty labels $\{h(v)\}_{v \in V}$ form a partition of $N$;
- Number of edges is $k$ and here multiple edges are allowed;
- $\operatorname{deg}(v)+|h(v)| \geq 3$ holds for every $v \in V$.


## loaded tree

See some examples of loaded trees. (check with definitions)


Figure: This is a loaded tree with 5 labels and 2 edges.


Figure: This is a loaded tree with 6 labels and 3 edges.

## monomial of a given tree

- We define the monomial of a given loaded tree as the following:
- For each edge we collect the labels on one side of it to form I and labels on the other side of it to form $J$. And we say $(I, J)$ is the corresponding cut for this edge.
- The monomial of this given loaded tree is $\prod_{i=1}^{n-3} \delta_{l_{i}, J_{i}}$; each edge of the tree contributes to the monomial a factor $\delta_{l, J}$ if $(I, J)$ is the corresponding cut for this edge.
- It is well-defined and each loaded tree has a unique monomial representation.


## monomial of a given tree



Figure: This is a loaded tree with 5 labels and 2 edges, the corresponding tree of tree monomial $\delta_{12 \mid 345} \cdot \delta_{123 \mid 45}$.


Figure: This is a loaded tree with 6 labels and 3 edges, the corresponding tree of tree monomial $\delta_{34 \mid 1256} \cdot \delta_{12 \mid 3456} \cdot \delta_{56 \mid 1234}$.

## one-to-one correspondence

We claim that any monomial of a given loaded tree is actually a tree monomial; and every tree monomial uniquely represents a loaded tree.

## Theorem

There is a one to one correspondence between tree monomials $T=\prod_{i=1}^{m} \delta_{l_{i}, J_{i}}(1 \leq m \leq n-3)$ and loaded trees with $n$ labels and $m$ edges. We call the corresponding tree of a tree monomial tree of the given tree monomial.

## one-to-one correspondence

## Proof.

- Prove by induction on $m$.
- Base case: $m=1, T=\delta_{1_{1}, J_{1}}$. We define its corresponding tree simply as a tree with two vertices and one edge connecting them, setting two labeling sets of the vertices as $I_{1}$ and $J_{1}$, respectively. Obviously this tree is a loaded tree with $n$ labels and 1 edge and its monomial is exactly $T$.
- Assume the statement holds for all $m \leq k(1 \leq k \leq n-3)$.
- When $T=\prod_{i=1}^{k+1} \delta_{l_{i}, J_{i}}$, we define its corresponding tree as the following:


## one-to-one correspondence

## Proof.

- First collect these $I_{i}, J_{i}(1 \leq i \leq k+1)$ together in a set $C$ (which can be a multi-set).
- Then pick any element $x \in C$ such that $x$ has minimum cardinality; assume $(x, y)$ is the cut (for $M_{n}$ ).
- Define $T_{1}:=\frac{T}{\delta_{x, y}}$, obviously it is still a tree monomial. By induction, there is a unique loaded tree $L T_{1}=\left(V_{1}, E_{1}, h_{1}\right)$ with $n$ labels and $k$ edges representing $T_{1}$.
- Then all nodes of $x$ must be together in $h_{1}(v)$ for some $v \in V_{1}$; otherwise, there will be another factor of $T$ fulfilling Keel's quadratic relation with $\delta_{x, y}$ and this contradicts with the fact that $T$ is a tree monomial.
- Then there are two cases: $(1) x=h_{1}(v) ;(2) x \subsetneq h_{1}(v)$.


## one-to-one correspondence

## Proof.

- First case: $x=h_{1}(v)$, since $x$ has minimal cardinality in set C, $v$ must be a leaf and its adjacent edge corresponds to cut $(x, y)$. In this case, we simply add one more multiplicity to this edge. Denote this new tree as $L T$.
- Second case: $x \subsetneq h_{1}(v)$. Add a new vertex $u$ with labelling set $x$ and one more edge $u v$ connecting $v$ and $u$; denote this new tree as $L T=(V, E, h)$.
- It is not hard to verify that in both cases $L T$ is a loaded tree with $n$ labels and $k+1$ edges and the monomial of $L T$ is just the product of $\delta_{x, y}$ and the monomial of $L T_{1}$, i.e., $T_{1} \cdot \delta_{x, y}$, which is exacty $T$. In this way, we proved the uniqueness.
- By induction, the statement holds.


## one-to-one correspondence

- From the proof above, we can extract an algorithm for constructing a loaded tree of the given tree monomial.
- However, the mutiplicity issue of edges can be simplified a bit.
- We can set that set $C$ in the algorithm to be a normal set.
- The multiplicity of edges can be considered after the tree structure is constructed easily.
- (illustrate the example on the blackboard)
- We call it tree algorithm.


## one-to-one correspondence



Figure: This is the corresponding loaded tree of the given monomial.

## value of a loaded tree

- Goal: calculate $\int(T)$ for any tree monomial $T$
- Recall: $\int$ represents the isomorphism from $A^{n-3}(n)$ to $\mathbb{Z}$
- Because of this one-to-one correspondence, now we define value of a loaded tree as $\int(T)$, where $T$ is the corresponding monomial of this loaded tree.


## value of a loaded tree

- Goal: calculate $\int(T)$ for any tree monomial $T$
- Recall: $\int$ represents the isomorphism from $A^{n-3}(n)$ to $\mathbb{Z}$
- Because of this one-t-one correspondence, now we define value of a loaded tree as $\int(T)$, where $T$ is the corresponding monomial of this loaded tree.
- Goal: Given a loaded tree with $n$ labels and $n-3$ edges, we want to calculate its value.


## special case

## Theorem

If all factors are distinct in $T:=\prod_{i=1}^{n-3} \delta_{l_{i}, J_{i}}$, then $\int(T)=1$. We call this type of tree monomial clever monomial and its corresponding loaded tree clever tree.

## Remark

For clever trees, we know that they have value 1. What about non-clever trees? Let's see the following example for a general idea.

## Recall Keel's linear relation

## Example

When $n=6$, we have $\epsilon_{12 \mid 35}=\epsilon_{13 \mid 25}=\epsilon_{15 \mid 23}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{12,3456}+\delta_{124,356}+\delta_{126,345}+\delta_{1246,35} \\
= & \delta_{13,2456}+\delta_{134,256}+\delta_{136,245}+\delta_{1346,25} \\
= & \delta_{15,2346}+\delta_{145,236}+\delta_{156,234}+\delta_{1456,23}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Remark

From the exmaple above we easily see that we can replace some $\delta_{I, J}$, say $\delta_{12 \mid 3456}$, by $\epsilon_{13 \mid 25}-\left(\epsilon_{12 \mid 35}-\delta_{12 \mid 3456}\right)$. Basicly we can replace $\delta_{l, J}$ by a sum of $\left(2^{n-3}-1\right)$ many $( \pm) \delta_{l^{\prime}, J^{\prime}}$.

## main idea behind

## Example

- Given: $\delta_{12 \mid 3456}^{2} \cdot \delta_{1234 \mid 56}$
- corresponding tree see below
- use Keel's linear relation:

$$
\delta_{12 \mid 3456}^{2} \cdot \delta_{1234 \mid 56}=\delta_{12 \mid 3456} \cdot \delta_{1234 \mid 56} \cdot\left(\epsilon_{13 \mid 25}-\delta_{124 \mid 356}-\delta_{126 \mid 345}-\delta_{1246 \mid 35}\right)
$$

- After cancellations caused by Keel's quadratic relation, we get $\delta_{12 \mid 3456}^{2} \cdot \delta_{1234 \mid 56}=-\delta_{12 \mid 3456} \cdot \delta_{1234 \mid 56} \cdot \delta_{124 \mid 356}$.
- obtain tree value/monomial value: -1



## main idea behind

- For simpler monomials we can try to replace those higher powered factors using Keel's linear relation.
- And hopefully finally get a sum of clever monomials (maybe with a negative sign).
- Then the number of of clever monomials should be the absolute value of given monomial.
- Based on this idea, we have an algorithm for calculus for all tree monomials in $A^{n-3}(n)$.


## sketch of the algorithm

- Input: a loaded tree with $n$ labels and $n-3$ edges
- Output: a natural number
- Transfer the loaded tree to a semi-redundancy tree.
- Calculate the sign of the tree value.
- Construct a redundancy forest from the semi-redundancy tree.
- Apply a recursive algorithm to this redundancy forest, obtaining the absolute tree value.
- Product of the sign and absolute value gives us tree value.
- We call it forest algorithm.
- Now we explain these terminologies.


## semi-redundancy tree

- Given: loaded tree $L T=(V, E, h)$.
- Define a weight function $w: V \cup E \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ as the following:
- For any $v \in V, w(v):=\operatorname{deg}(v)+|h(v)|-3$.
- Note that here in the degree of $v$, multiple edges are counted only once. And from the definition of loaded tree we know the weight of any vertex must be non-negative.
- For any $e \in E, w(e):=$ multiplicity of $e-1$. Then we see the weight of any edge is also non-negative.
- semi-redundancy tree (of $L T$ ) $S R T:=(L T, w)$.


## semi-redundancy tree



Figure: This is a loaded tree $L T$ with 14 labels and 11 edges.

Let's figure out its semi-redundancy tree!

## semi-redundancy tree



Figure: This is the semi-redundancy tree of the loaded tree $L T$, where the weight of vertices and edges are tagged in red. For simplicity we ommit labels for vertices here.

## sign of the tree value

- Given a semi-redundancy tree $S R T=(L T, w)$.
- Let $S$ be the sum of vertex weight (or edge weight) of $L T$.
- Sign of the tree value of loaded tree $L T$ is $(-1)^{S}$.
- It's not hard to verify that weight sum of edges and of vertices are the same.


## sign of the tree value

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{v \in V} w(v) & =\sum_{v \in V}(\operatorname{deg}(v)+|h(v)|-3) \\
& =\sum_{v \in V} \operatorname{deg}(v)+\sum_{v \in V}|h(v)|-3 \cdot|V| \\
& =2 \cdot|E|+n-3 \cdot|V| \\
& =2 \cdot|E|+n-3 \cdot|E|-3 \\
& =n-3-|E|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\sum_{e \in E} w(e)=\sum_{e \in E}(\text { multiplicity }(e)-1)
$$

$$
=\sum_{e \in E} \text { multiplicity }(e)-|E|
$$

$$
=n-3-|E|
$$

Note that here in $|E|$ multiple edges are counted only once.

## sign of the tree value



Figure: This is the semi-redundancy tree of the loaded tree $L T$, where the weight of vertices and edges are tagged in red. For simplicity we ommit labels for vertices here.

Sum of vertex weight $S=1+4+1+0+1=7$, so the sign of $L T$ value is $(-1)^{7}=-1$.

## redundancy forest

- How do we transfer a semi-redundancy tree ( $L T, w$ ) (assume $L T=(V, E, h))$ to a redundancy forest?
- Replace each edge by a length-two edge with a new vertex connecting them which has the same weight as the replaced edge.
- Then we obtain the redundancy tree (of loaded tree $L T$ ) $R T:=\left(V \cup E, E_{1}, h, w\right)$.
- Union of subtrees of $R T$ such that no vertex has weight zero is the redundancy forest of $L T$.


## redundancy forest



Figure: This is the semi-redundancy tree of the loaded tree $L T$, where the weight of vertices and edges are tagged in red. For simplicity we ommit labels for vertices here.

Let's figure out its redundancy forest!

## redundancy forest



Figure: This is the redundancy forest $R F$ of loaded tree $L T$, which contains two trees and the weight of vertices of $R F$ are tagged in red.

Let's figure out how to apply the recursive algorithm to obtain the absolute value!

## absolute value

- Let $R F=(V, E, h, w)$ be the redundancy forest of a loaded tree $L T$.
- We define the value of RF as the following:
- Pick any leaf of this forest, say $I \in V$, denote the unique parent of $I$ as $I_{1}$.
- If $w(I)>w\left(I_{1}\right)$, return 0 and terminate the algorithm; otherwise, remove I from RF and assign weight $\left(w\left(I_{1}\right)-w(I)\right)$ to $I_{1}$, replacing its previous weight. Denote the new forest as $R F_{1}$.
- Value of $R F$ is the product of binomial coefficient $\binom{w\left(l_{1}\right)}{w(l)}$ and the value of $R F_{1}$.
- Base cases: whenever we reach a degree-zero vertex, if it has non-zero weight, return 0 and terminate the algorithm; otherwise, return 1.
- Product of absolute value of the corresponding redundancy forest of $L T$ and sign of its tree value gives us the value of $L T$.


## absolute value



Figure: This is the redundancy forest $R F$ of loaded tree $L T$, which contains two trees and the weight of vertices of $R F$ are tagged in red.

Let's figure out how to apply the recursive algorithm to obtain the absolute value!

## absolute value



## tree value

- Finally we get the absolute value of $R F$ as
$1 \times\binom{ 1}{1} \times\binom{ 2}{1} \times\binom{ 4}{3} \times\binom{ 4}{1} \times\binom{ 1}{1}=32$.
- Combining with the sign -1 , we obtain the value of $L T$ as -32 .


## forest algorithm

- Input: a loaded tree with $n$ labels and $n-3$ edges
- Output: a natural number
- Transfer the loaded tree to a semi-redundancy tree.
- Calculate the sign of the tree value.
- Construct a redundancy forest from the semi-redundancy tree.
- Apply a recursive algorithm to this redundancy forest, obtain the absolute tree value.
- Prduct of the sign and absolute value gives us tree value.
- Implemented in Python; based on forest algorithm, computation of $\int \prod_{r=1}^{n-3} \epsilon_{i_{r} j_{r} \mid k_{r} I_{r}}$ is also implemented in Python.


## well-definedness; termination

- Not hard to verify that at every step it does not matter from which leaf we start and base cases are well-defined. Hence forest algorithm is well-defined.
- Input is a tree with ( $n-2$ ) vertices maximally, the redundancy forest can have at most $(2 n-5)$ vertices.
- The recursive algorithm strictly reduces the number of vertices by 1 in each step, obtaining a proper sub-forest.
- Hence the algorithm terminates and is well-defined.


## correctness

## Conjecture

Forest algorithm is correct.

Thank You

