## Symbolic-Numeric Algorithms for Invariants of Plane Curve Singularities

Mădălina Hodorog<sup>1</sup>, Bernard Mourrain<sup>2</sup>, Josef Schicho<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Johann Radon Institute for Computational and Applied Mathematics, Doctoral Program "Computational Mathematics" Johannes Kepler University Linz, Austria Research Institute for Symbolic Computation <sup>2</sup>INRIA Sophia-Antipolis, France

Doctoral Program "Computational Mathematics" Status Seminar, Strobl-Austria October 6-8, 2010

# Table of contents

#### Motivation

#### Describing the problem

- Problem specifications and ill-posedness of the problem
- Techniques for dealing with the ill-posedness

### ③ Solving the problem

- Strategy for solving the problem
- Mathematical framework for solving the problem

### Results

- Algorithms for invariants of plane curve singularities
- Implementation of the algorithms
- The algorithms and "approximate algebraic computation"
- Extension of the algorithms
- Test experiments





#### Describing the problem

- Problem specifications and ill-posedness of the problem
- Techniques for dealing with the ill-posedness

#### 3 Solving the problem

- Strategy for solving the problem
- Mathematical framework for solving the problem

#### Results

- Algorithms for invariants of plane curve singularities
- Implementation of the algorithms
- The algorithms and "approximate algebraic computation"

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

3/36

- Extension of the algorithms
- Test experiments

### 5 Conclusion

We investigate the topology (i.e. roughly speaking the shape) of plane complex algebraic curves. These curves can be identified with objects in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  we cannot visualize! We sketch the equivalent objects in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  for a rough "idea"!



For instance,

We visualize the topology of the algebraic curve  $C = \{(x, y) | -x^3 - xy + y^2 = 0\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ! We notice an "involved" topology around the point (0, 0), which is called singularity!

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト









Other numerical algorithms for genus: C. Wampler's group (Bertini system), R. Sendra's group.

4/36

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

For instance:

> with(algcurves);

[*AbelMap*, *Siegel*, *Weierstrassform*, *algfun\_series\_sol*, *differentials*, *genus*, *homogeneous*, *homology*, *implicitize*, *integral\_basis*, *is\_hyperelliptic*, *j\_invariant*, *monodromy*, *parametrization*, *periodmatrix*, *plot\_knot*, *plot\_real\_curve*, *puiseux*, *singularities*]

- >  $f := x^2 y + y^4$  $f := x^2 y + y^4$
- > genus(f, x, y)

> 
$$g := 1.02 \cdot x^2 y + 1.12 \cdot y^4$$
  
 $g := 1.02 x^2 y + 1.12 y^4$ 

> genus(g, x, y)

Error, (in content/polynom) general case of floats not handled
>

-1

#### Describing the problem

- Problem specifications and ill-posedness of the problem
- Techniques for dealing with the ill-posedness

#### 3 Solving the problem

- Strategy for solving the problem
- Mathematical framework for solving the problem

#### Results

- Algorithms for invariants of plane curve singularities
- Implementation of the algorithms
- The algorithms and "approximate algebraic computation"

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

5/36

- Extension of the algorithms
- Test experiments

### 5 Conclusion

## Problem specifications

• Input:

- $f(x,y) \in \mathbb{C}[x,y]$  squarefree with exact and inexact coefficients;
- $\mathcal{C} = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 | f(x, y) = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^2 \simeq \mathbb{R}^4$  of degree m;
- $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^*_+$  input parameter.

• Output:

- ► The set of numerical singularities of C; IF C ∩ S<sub>e</sub> (with S<sub>e</sub> the sphere of radius epsilon centered in the singularity (0,0) of C) has no singularities, THEN :
- A set of invariants for each numerical singularity:
  - ϵ-algebraic link;
  - ★  $\epsilon$ -Alexander polynomial;
  - **\***  $\epsilon$ -Milnor number,  $\epsilon$ -delta-invariant;
- ► A set of invariants from knot theory for each *e*-algebraic link:
  - **\***  $\epsilon$ -diagram,  $\epsilon$ -crossings,  $\epsilon$ -arcs,  $\epsilon$ -genus,  $\epsilon$ -determinant;
  - $\star$   $\epsilon$ -unknotting number,  $\epsilon$ -linking number,  $\epsilon$ -colorability.
- ► A set of invariants for C:
  - ★  $\epsilon$ -genus,  $\epsilon$ -Euler characteristic.

ELSE "false", i.e.  $\mathcal{C} \cap S_\epsilon$  has singularities.



イロト 不得 とくほ とくほ とうほう

### Ill-posedness of the problem

The problem is ill-posed! Small changes in input produce huge changes in the output! Example. Let  $s_1 = (0,0)$  of  $C = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | -x^3 - xy + y^2 = 0\}$  and  $s_2 = (0,0)$  of  $D = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | -x^3 - xy + y^2 - 0.01 = 0\}!$ The topology of (0,0) is not stable under small changes in input!



The same situation happens in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ , but we cannot visualize it!



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

#### Describing the problem

Problem specifications and ill-posedness of the problem

#### • Techniques for dealing with the ill-posedness

#### 3 Solving the problem

- Strategy for solving the problem
- Mathematical framework for solving the problem

#### Results

- Algorithms for invariants of plane curve singularities
- Implementation of the algorithms
- The algorithms and "approximate algebraic computation"

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

8/36

- Extension of the algorithms
- Test experiments

### 5 Conclusion

## Techniques for dealing with the ill-posedness

How to deal with the ill-posedness of a problem?

- We construct numerical methods that approximate solutions to ill-posed problems, that are stable under small changes of the input! (i.e. regularization method)
- Similar methods are subjects of *approximate algebraic computation* in order to compute: greatest common divisor of polynomials, root of polynomials, etc.





### Techniques for dealing with the ill-posedness

How to deal with the ill-posedness of our problem?

• *Example.* For  $s_1 = (0,0)$  of  $C = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^4 | -x^3 - xy + y^2 = 0\}$  and  $s_2 = (0,0)$  of  $\mathcal{D} = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^4 | -x^3 - xy + y^2 - 0.01 = 0\}$ , we compute their  $\epsilon$ -algebraic links denoted  $L_{\epsilon}(s_1), L_{\epsilon}(s_2)$ .

**Note 1:** For sufficiently small  $\epsilon$ ,  $L_{\epsilon}$  are stable under small changes in the input and they characterize the topology of  $s_1, s_2$ .

**Note 2:** From  $L_{\epsilon}$  we compute the  $\epsilon$ -Alexander polynomial. This polynomial is a complete invariant for  $L_{\epsilon}$ ! (Yamamoto's result)

If the  $\epsilon$ -Alexander polynomials of  $L_{\epsilon}(s_1), L_{\epsilon}(s_2)$  are equal, then  $s_1, s_2$  have the same topology, else they have different topology!

9/36

**Note 3:** From  $L_{\epsilon}$ ,  $\epsilon$ -Alexander polynomial we compute other invariants.

### Describing the problem

- Problem specifications and ill-posedness of the problem
- Techniques for dealing with the ill-posedness

#### 3 Solving the problem

- Strategy for solving the problem
- Mathematical framework for solving the problem

#### Results

- Algorithms for invariants of plane curve singularities
- Implementation of the algorithms
- The algorithms and "approximate algebraic computation"
- Extension of the algorithms
- Test experiments





## Strategy for solving the problem

We split our problem into smaller interdependent subproblems!







#### Describing the problem

- Problem specifications and ill-posedness of the problem
- Techniques for dealing with the ill-posedness

#### 3 Solving the problem

- Strategy for solving the problem
- Mathematical framework for solving the problem

#### Results

- Algorithms for invariants of plane curve singularities
- Implementation of the algorithms
- The algorithms and "approximate algebraic computation"

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

- Extension of the algorithms
- Test experiments



## Algorithms for invariants of plane curve singularities





### Algorithm for the singularities of the curve

• Input:

- $f(x,y) \in \mathbb{C}[x,y]$  squarefree with exact and inexact coefficients
- $\blacktriangleright \ \mathcal{C} = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 | f(x,y) = 0\} \text{ complex algebraic curve of degree } m.$
- Output:

• 
$$Sing(\mathcal{C}) = \{(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{C}^2 | f(x_0, y_0) = 0, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) = 0, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) = 0\}$$

• Method: We solve the system in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ :  $f(x_0, y_0) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) = 0$ . We use subdivision methods from Axel.

We get the numerical singularities, i.e. a list of points  ${\cal P}$  in the plane s.t.:

- the value of f(x,y) and its derivatives in the points from P are small;
- every singularity from  $Sing(\mathcal{C})$  is in the neighborhood of one point from P.

Doctoral Program Computational Mathematics

16/36

QUESTION: Other method (with implementation) available !?



## Algorithm for the $\epsilon\text{-algebraic link}$

#### Trefoil Knot



- A knot is a piecewise linear or a differentiable simple closed curve in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .
- A **link** is a finite union of disjoint knots.
- Links resulted from the intersection of a given curve with the sphere are called **algebraic links**.

Hopf Link





## Algorithm for the $\epsilon$ -algebraic link

- How do we compute the link of a plane curve singularity?
  - use the stereographic projection;



### Algorithm for the $\epsilon$ -algebraic link

1. Let  $\mathcal{C} = \{(a, b, c, d) \in \mathbb{R}^4 | f(a, b, c, d) = 0\}$  with  $(0, 0, 0, 0) \in Sing(\mathcal{C})$ .

2. For f(a, b, c, d) = R(a, b, c, d) + iI(a, b, c, d) with  $R(a, b, c, d), I(a, b, c, d) \in \mathbb{R}[a, b, c, d]$ , rewrite  $C = \{(a, b, c, d) \in \mathbb{R}^4 | R(a, b, c, d) = I(a, b, c, d) = 0\}$ .

3. Intersect C with a sphere  $S_{\epsilon} = \{(a, b, c, d) \in \mathbb{R}^4 | a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2 = \epsilon^2\}$  and obtain  $X = C \bigcap S_{\epsilon} \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ .

4. For  $N \in S_{\epsilon} \setminus C$ , consider the stereographic projection  $\pi: S_{\epsilon} \setminus \{N\} \to \mathbb{R}^{3}, (a, b, c, d) \mapsto (u = \frac{a}{\epsilon - d}, v = \frac{b}{\epsilon - d}, w = \frac{c}{\epsilon - d})$ , and compute  $\pi^{-1}: \mathbb{R}^{3} \to S_{\epsilon} \setminus \{N\}, \pi^{-1}(u, v, w) \mapsto (a = ..., b = ..., c = ..., d = ...).$ 



## Algorithm for the $\epsilon$ -algebraic link

4. For  $\mathcal{C} = \{(a, b, c, d) \in \mathbb{R}^4 | R(a, b, c, d) = I(a, b, c, d) = 0\}$ , project  $X = \mathcal{C} \cap S_{\epsilon}$ from  $\mathbb{R}^4$  to  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with the stereographic projection  $\pi$  and compute  $\pi(X) = \{(u, v, w) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | \exists (a, b, c, d) = \pi^{-1}(u, v, w, ) \in X = \mathcal{C} \cap S_{\epsilon}\}, \pi(X) = \{(u, v, w) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | R(a, b, c, d) = I(a, b, c, d) = 0\}.$ 

5. Obtain 
$$\pi(X) = \{(u, v, w) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | g(u, v, w) = h(u, v, w) = 0\}$$
 with  $g, h \in \mathbb{R}[u, v, w]$ .

#### Remark!

 $\pi(X)$  is an implicit algebraic curve in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  given as the intersection of two surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with the defining equations g,h.

For small  $\epsilon, \pi(X) := L_{\epsilon}$  is an algebraic link, (based on Milnor's result), i.e.  $C \cap S_{\epsilon}$  has no singularities.



We use Axel for implementing the proposed algorithm.

• For  $C = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 | x^3 - y^2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^4, \epsilon = 1$ we compute with the algorithm in Axel:





- For  $C = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 | x^3 y^2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^4, \epsilon = 1$ we compute with the algorithm in Axel:
- $\pi(\mathcal{C} \cap S) = \pi(X) := L_{\epsilon} =$ = { $(u, v, w) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | g(u, v, w) = 0, h(u, v, w) = 0$ }





We use Axel for implementing the proposed algorithm.

- For  $C = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 | x^3 y^2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^4, \epsilon = 1$ we compute with the algorithm in Axel:
- $\pi(\mathcal{C} \cap S) = \pi(X) := L_{\epsilon} =$ = { $(u, v, w) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | g(u, v, w) = 0, h(u, v, w) = 0$ }
- $Graph(L_{\epsilon}) = \langle \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E} \rangle$  with  $\mathcal{V} = \{p = (m, n, q) \in \mathbb{R}^3\}$  $\mathcal{E} = \{(i, j) | i, j \in \mathcal{V}\}$



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

- For  $C = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 | x^3 y^2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^4, \epsilon = 1$ we compute with the algorithm in Axel:
- $\pi(\mathcal{C} \cap S) = \pi(X) := L_{\epsilon} =$ = { $(u, v, w) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | g(u, v, w) = 0, h(u, v, w) = 0$ }
- $Graph(L_{\epsilon}) = \langle \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E} \rangle$  with  $\mathcal{V} = \{p = (m, n, q) \in \mathbb{R}^3\}$  $\mathcal{E} = \{(i, j) | i, j \in \mathcal{V}\}$
- s.t.  $Graph(L_{\epsilon}) \cong_{isotopic} L_{\epsilon}$





We use Axel for implementing the proposed algorithm.

- For  $C = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 | x^3 y^2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^4, \epsilon = 1$ we compute with the algorithm in Axel:
- $\pi(\mathcal{C} \cap S) = \pi(X) := L_{\epsilon} =$ = { $(u, v, w) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | g(u, v, w) = 0, h(u, v, w) = 0$ }
- $Graph(L_{\epsilon}) = \langle \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E} \rangle$  with  $\mathcal{V} = \{p = (m, n, q) \in \mathbb{R}^3\}$  $\mathcal{E} = \{(i, j) | i, j \in \mathcal{V}\}$
- s.t.  $Graph(L_{\epsilon}) \cong_{isotopic} L_{\epsilon}$
- $Graph(L_{\epsilon})$  is a piecewise linear approximation of  $L_{\epsilon}$
- Why Axel? It is the only system to implement a method which returns such an approximation! QUESTION: Other method (with implementation) available!?



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

- For  $\mathcal{C} = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 | x^3 y^2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^4, \epsilon = 1$
- and  $L_{\epsilon} = \{(u, v, w) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | g(u, v, w) = 0, h(u, v, w) = 0\}$





- $\bullet \ \, {\rm For} \ \, {\mathcal C}=\{(x,y)\in {\mathbb C}^2|x^3-y^2=0\}\subset {\mathbb R}^4, \epsilon=1$
- and  $L_{\epsilon} = \{(u, v, w) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | g(u, v, w) = 0, h(u, v, w) = 0\}$
- we also compute (for visualization reasons) 
  $$\begin{split} \mathcal{S}^{'} &= \{(u,v,w) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} | g(u,v,w) + h(u,v,w) = 0 \} \\ \mathcal{S}^{''} &= \{(u,v,w) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} | g(u,v,w) - h(u,v,w) = 0 \} \end{split}$$



- $\bullet \ \ \text{For} \ \ \mathcal{C}=\{(x,y)\in \mathbb{C}^2|x^3-y^2=0\}\subset \mathbb{R}^4, \epsilon=1$
- and  $L_{\epsilon} = \{(u, v, w) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | g(u, v, w) = 0, h(u, v, w) = 0\}$
- we also compute (for visualization reasons) 
  $$\begin{split} \mathcal{S}^{'} &= \{(u,v,w) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} | g(u,v,w) + h(u,v,w) = 0 \} \\ \mathcal{S}^{''} &= \{(u,v,w) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} | g(u,v,w) - h(u,v,w) = 0 \} \end{split}$$
- $L_{\epsilon}$  is the intersection of any 2 of the surfaces: g(u, v, w), h(u, v, w)g(u, v, w) + h(u, v, w), g(u, v, w) - h(u, v, w)





## Algorithm for the diagram of the $\epsilon$ -algebraic link

When we work with (algebraic) links, we work with a special projection of them (**diagram**), containing the information on each double point (**crossing**) telling which branch goes over and which under.

• An arc is the part of a diagram between two undercrossings.



• Example. Diagram with 3 crossings and 3 arcs



Algorithm for the diagram of the  $\epsilon$ -algebraic link



 We need to transform the graph data structure G(L<sub>ε</sub>) returned by Axel into the diagram of the algebraic link D(L<sub>ε</sub>).



## Algorithm for the diagram of the $\epsilon$ -algebraic link



We developed several computational geometry and combinatorial algorithms!
 M. Hodorog, J.Schicho. Computational geometry and combinatorial algorithms for the genus computation problem. DK 10-07 Report.

M. Hodorog, B. Mourrain, J.Schicho. Topology analysis of complex curves singularities using knot theory. International Conference on Curves and Surfaces, Avignon, 2010.

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

## Why do we need the diagram of the $\epsilon$ -algebraic link?

We compute the  $\epsilon$ -Alexander polynomial of  $L_{\epsilon}$  denoted  $\Delta(L_{\epsilon})$  in 3 combinatorial steps:



In order to compute it, we need  $D(L_{\epsilon})$ , the diagram of  $L_{\epsilon}$ !

M. Hodorog, B. Mourrain, J. Schicho. A symbolic-numeric algorithm for computing the Alexander polynomial of a plane curve singularity. International Symposium on Symbolic and Numeric Algorithms for Scientific Computing. Timişoara, Romania, 2010.

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

#### Describing the problem

- Problem specifications and ill-posedness of the problem
- Techniques for dealing with the ill-posedness

#### 3 Solving the problem

- Strategy for solving the problem
- Mathematical framework for solving the problem

#### Results

- Algorithms for invariants of plane curve singularities
- Implementation of the algorithms
- The algorithms and "approximate algebraic computation"

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

26/36

- Extension of the algorithms
- Test experiments

### 5 Conclusion

• Axel free algebraic geometric modeler (INRIA Sophia-Antipolis) <sup>a</sup>



http://axel.inria.fr/



<sup>a</sup>Acknowledgements: Julien Wintz

- Axel free algebraic geometric modeler (INRIA Sophia-Antipolis) <sup>a</sup>
  - ▶ written in *C*++;
  - Qt Script for Applications (QSA);
  - Open Graphics Library (OpenGL).





<sup>a</sup>Acknowledgements: Julien Wintz

- Axel free algebraic geometric modeler (INRIA Sophia-Antipolis) <sup>a</sup>
  - written in C++;
  - Qt Script for Applications (QSA);
  - Open Graphics Library (OpenGL).
- GENOM3CK-our library in Axel. Support: http://people.ricam.oeaw. ac.at/m.hodorog/software.html and madalina.hodorog@oeaw.ac.at

 M. Hodorog, B. Mourrain, J. Schicho. GENOM3CK - A library for GENus cOMputation of plane Complex algebraiC Curves using Knot theory. International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation. Münich, Germany, 2010.



< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト



- Axel free algebraic geometric modeler (INRIA Sophia-Antipolis) <sup>a</sup>
  - ▶ written in *C*++;
  - Qt Script for Applications (QSA);
  - Open Graphics Library (OpenGL).
- GENOM3CK-our library in Axel. Support: http://people.ricam.oeaw. ac.at/m.hodorog/software.html and madalina.hodorog@oeaw.ac.at
- M. Hodorog, B. Mourrain, J. Schicho. GENOM3CK - A library for GENus cOMputation of plane Complex algebraiC Curves using Knot theory. International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation. Münich, Germany, 2010.
- Version 0.2 of GENOM3CK is released!

<sup>a</sup>Acknowledgements: Julien Wintz





#### Describing the problem

- Problem specifications and ill-posedness of the problem
- Techniques for dealing with the ill-posedness

#### **3** Solving the problem

- Strategy for solving the problem
- Mathematical framework for solving the problem

#### Results

- Algorithms for invariants of plane curve singularities
- Implementation of the algorithms
- The algorithms and "approximate algebraic computation"

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

28/36

- Extension of the algorithms
- Test experiments

### 5 Conclusion

## The algorithms and "approximate algebraic computation"

We interpret the algorithms in the frame of approximate algebraic computation!



## The algorithms and "approximate algebraic computation"

With the notations:

- E: I → O the symbolic algorithm s.t.
   Given f ∈ I polynomial, compute E(f) the Alexander polynomial (ill-posed)
- $A: I \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to O$  the symbolic-numeric algorithm s.t. Given  $(f, \epsilon) \in I \times \mathbb{R}_+$ , compute the  $\epsilon$ -Alexander polynomial

• 
$$\forall f \in I \ \forall \delta \in \mathbb{R}_+, f_- : \mathbb{R}_+ \to I, \delta \mapsto f_\delta : |f - f_\delta| \le \delta$$

and based on:

Milnor's theorem:

 $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} A(f,\epsilon) = E(f)(\text{convergence for exact data}).$ 

- $A(f_{\delta}, \epsilon)$  depends continuously on the perturbed input polynomial  $f_{\delta}$  (continuity);
- $\exists \alpha : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$  continuous, monotonically and decreasing with  $\lim_{\delta \to 0} \alpha(\delta) = 0$ s.t.  $\forall f \in I \ \forall \delta \in \mathbb{R}_+ : |f - f_{\delta}| \le \delta$

 $\lim_{\delta \to 0} A(f_{\delta}, \alpha(\delta)) = E(f) (\text{convergence for perturbed data}).$ 

The algorithm  $A_\epsilon$  is a regularization.

Concural Program Concuratives Management Concurate Management Concur

#### Describing the problem

- Problem specifications and ill-posedness of the problem
- Techniques for dealing with the ill-posedness

#### 3 Solving the problem

- Strategy for solving the problem
- Mathematical framework for solving the problem

#### Results

- Algorithms for invariants of plane curve singularities
- Implementation of the algorithms
- The algorithms and "approximate algebraic computation"

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

30/36

#### • Extension of the algorithms

• Test experiments

#### 5 Conclusion

## Extension of the algorithms

Originally, we developed the algorithms for the following invariants of algebraic curves:



## Extension of the algorithms



31 / 36

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

#### Describing the problem

- Problem specifications and ill-posedness of the problem
- Techniques for dealing with the ill-posedness

#### 3 Solving the problem

- Strategy for solving the problem
- Mathematical framework for solving the problem

#### Results

- Algorithms for invariants of plane curve singularities
- Implementation of the algorithms
- The algorithms and "approximate algebraic computation"

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

32/36

- Extension of the algorithms
- Test experiments

### **Conclusion**

### Test experiments

Let us review the first example: Let  $s_1 = (0,0)$  of  $\mathcal{C} = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | -x^3 - xy + y^2 = 0\}$ and  $s_2 = (0,0)$  of  $\mathcal{D} = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | -x^3 - xy + y^2 - 0.01 = 0\}!$ The topology of (0,0) is not stable under small changes of the input!



The same situation happens in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ , but we cannot visualize it!

Concernent Mathematical Automatical A

### Test experiments

But the  $\epsilon$ -algebraic link is stable under small changes of the input for sufficiently small  $\epsilon$ !

Equation in $\mathbb{C}^2$	Results
$-x^3 - xy + y^2, \epsilon = 1.00$	Trefoil, $\Delta(t_1) = t_1^2 - t_1 + 1, \delta = 1, g = 0$
$-x^3 - xy + y^2, \epsilon = 0.25$	Hopf link, $\Delta(t_1,t_2)=1, \delta=1, g=0$
$-x^3 - xy + y^2 - 0.01, \epsilon = 1.00$	Trefoil, $\Delta(t_1) = t_1^2 - t_1 + 1, \delta = 1, g = 0$
$-x^3 - xy + y^2 - 0.01, \epsilon = 0.25$	Hopf link, $\Delta(t_1,t_2)=1, \delta=1, g=0$



3

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

#### Describing the problem

- Problem specifications and ill-posedness of the problem
- Techniques for dealing with the ill-posedness

#### 3 Solving the problem

- Strategy for solving the problem
- Mathematical framework for solving the problem

#### Results

- Algorithms for invariants of plane curve singularities
- Implementation of the algorithms
- The algorithms and "approximate algebraic computation"

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

- Extension of the algorithms
- Test experiments



# ✓ DONE:

- automatization of symbolic-numeric algorithms for invariants of plane curves singularities in GENOM3CK;
- describe partially algorithms with principles from regularization theory;



(a)



# ✓ DONE:

- automatization of symbolic-numeric algorithms for invariants of plane curves singularities in GENOM3CK;
- describe partially algorithms with principles from regularization theory;
- test experiments show that the algorithms have the continuity and the convergence for exact and perturbed data properties;
- proofs of the continuity and the convergence for exact data property are constructed.



< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

# ✓ DONE:

- automatization of symbolic-numeric algorithms for invariants of plane curves singularities in GENOM3CK;
- describe partially algorithms with principles from regularization theory;
- test experiments show that the algorithms have the continuity and the convergence for exact and perturbed data properties;
- proofs of the continuity and the convergence for exact data property are constructed.

## X TO DO's:

 proof of the convergence for perturbed data property is needed;

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

# ✓ DONE:

- automatization of symbolic-numeric algorithms for invariants of plane curves singularities in GENOM3CK;
- describe partially algorithms with principles from regularization theory;
- test experiments show that the algorithms have the continuity and the convergence for exact and perturbed data properties;
- proofs of the continuity and the convergence for exact data property are constructed.

## X TO DO's:

- proof of the convergence for perturbed data property is needed;
- include other operations, i.e. from knot theory, algebraic geometry.

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

Computational Mathematic Renerol Heads and Senses Computer



Thank you for your attention.

