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#### Abstract

In the paper Ngo09 we have studied the algebraic ODE of first order $F\left(x, y, y^{\prime}\right)=$ 0 , where $F \in \mathbb{Q}[x, y, z]$, given its proper rational parametrization of the corresponding surface $F(x, y, z)=0$. Using this proper parametrization we deduced the problem of finding rational general solutions of the equation $F\left(x, y, y^{\prime}\right)=0$ to finding rational general solutions of its associated system of ODEs in two new indeterminates $s, t$. This is a planar autonomous system of first order in $s, t$ and of first degree in $s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}$.

In this paper we give a criterion for existence of rational general solutions of such an autonomous system provided a degree bound of its rational general solutions. The criterion is based on the vanishing of the differential pseudo remainder of Gao's differential polynomials [FG06] with respect to the chain of the ODE system. As a result, we use this criterion to classify all planar linear systems of ODEs having a rational general solution.


## 1 Preliminaries

In this section we recall some basic notions in differential algebra such as order, initial, separant, ranking and reduction in a ring of differential polynomials in two indeterminates. The general definitions can be found in Rit50 and Kol73].

Let $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x)$ be the differential field of rational functions over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ with usual derivation $\frac{d}{d x}$ and we also use ' notation for an abbreviation of this derivation. Let $s, t$ be two indeterminates over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x)$. The $i$-th derivatives of $s$ and $t$ are denoted by $s_{i}$ and $t_{i}$, respectively. The differential polynomial ring $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x)\{s, t\}$ is the ring consisting of all polynomials in $s, t$ and all their derivatives up to any order. Let $F \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x)\{s, t\}$ be a differential polynomial. The $i$-th derivative of $F$ is denoted by $F^{(i)}$. We simply write $s$ and $t$ instead of $s_{0}$ and $t_{0}$, respectively, or simply write $F^{\prime}$ instead of $F^{(1)}$. The order of $F$ in $s$ (respectively, in $t$ ) is the highest $n$ such that $s_{n}$ (respectively, of $t_{n}$ ) occuring in

[^0]$F$, denoted by $\operatorname{ord}_{s}(F)$. For convention we define $\operatorname{ord}_{s}(F)=-1$ if $F$ does not involve any derivative of $s$.

Definition 1.1. Let $F, G \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x)\{s, t\}$. $F$ is said to be of higher rank than $G$ in $s$ if one of the following conditions holds:

1. $\operatorname{ord}_{s}(F)>\operatorname{ord}_{s}(G)$;
2. $\operatorname{ord}_{s}(F)=\operatorname{ord}_{s}(G)=n$ and $\operatorname{deg}_{s_{n}}(F)>\operatorname{deg}_{s_{n}}(G)$.

If $F$ is of higher rank than $G$ in $s$, then we also say $G$ is of lower rank than $F$ in $s$. Similarly, we can define the corresponding notion in $t$.

We order the family $\left(s_{i}, t_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ by a total order as $t<s<t_{1}<s_{1}<\cdots$. In differential algebra, this total order defines an orderly ranking on the set of derivatives of the differential indeterminates $s, t$ of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x)\{s, t\}$. The leader of a differential polynomial $F$ is the greatest derivative occurring in $F$ with respect to this ranking. The initial of $F$ is the leading coefficient of $F$ with respect to its leader. The separant of $F$ is the partial derivative of $F$ with respect to its leader. It is also the initial of any proper derivative of $F$.

Definition 1.2. Let $F$ and $G$ be two differential polynomials in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x)\{s, t\}$ with the orderly ranking. $G$ is said to be reduced with respect to $F$ if $G$ is lower rank than $F$ in the indeterminate defined by the leader of $F$.

Definition 1.3. Let $F \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x)\{s, t\}$. By Ritt's reduction, for any $G \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x)\{s, t\}$ there exists a unique representation

$$
S^{m} I^{n} G=\sum_{i} Q_{i} F^{(i)}+R
$$

where $S$ is the separant of $F, I$ is the initial of $F, Q_{i} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x)\{s, t\}, F^{(i)}$ are the $i$-th derivatives of $F, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $R \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x)\{s, t\}$ is reduced with respect to $F$. The $R$ is called the differential pseudo remainder of $G$ with respect to $F$, denoted by

$$
R=\operatorname{prem}(G, F) .
$$

The reduction of $G$ with respect to $F$ is trivial if $R=G$.
From now on, we consider $M_{1}, N_{1}, M_{2}, N_{2} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[s, t]$ and two special differential polynomials $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x)\{s, t\}$ defined as the following. Let

$$
F_{1}=M_{1} s^{\prime}-N_{1}, F_{2}=M_{2} t^{\prime}-N_{2} .
$$

Note that the initial and separant of $F_{1}$ (respectively, of $F_{2}$ ) are the same. The differential ideal generated by $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ is denoted by $\left[F_{1}, F_{2}\right.$ ].

Lemma 1.1. Let $G \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x)\{s, t\}, h=\operatorname{ord}_{s}(G)$ and $F_{1}=M_{1} s^{\prime}-N_{1}$. Let $R=$ $\operatorname{prem}\left(G, F_{1}\right)$. Then

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{s}(R) \leq 0, \operatorname{ord}_{t}(R) \leq \max \left\{\operatorname{ord}_{t}(G), h-1\right\}
$$

Proof. Since $\operatorname{ord}_{s}\left(F_{1}\right)=1$ and $R=\operatorname{prem}\left(G, F_{1}\right)$, by definition of the differential pseudo remainder, we have $\operatorname{ord}_{s}(R) \leq 0$. If $h<1$, then $R=G$. Hence

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{t}(R)=\operatorname{ord}_{t}(G) \leq \max \left\{\operatorname{ord}_{t}(G), h-1\right\}
$$

Suppose that $h \geq 1$. Then the reduction of $G$ with respect to $F_{1}$ is non-trivial. Assume that

$$
M_{1}^{n_{1}} G=Q_{h-1} F_{1}^{(h-1)}+R_{1},
$$

where $\operatorname{ord}_{s}\left(R_{1}\right) \leq h-1$ and $Q_{h-1} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x)\{s, t\}$. We claim that

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{t}\left(R_{1}\right) \leq \max \left\{\operatorname{ord}_{t}(G), h-1\right\}
$$

By contradiction, if $\operatorname{ord}_{t}\left(R_{1}\right)>\max \left\{\operatorname{ord}_{t}(G), h-1\right\}$, then $Q_{h-1}$ would have to involve $t_{\operatorname{ord}_{t}\left(R_{1}\right)}$ and $Q_{h-1} F_{1}^{(h-1)}$ would contain a term involving $t_{\operatorname{ord}_{t}\left(R_{1}\right)}$ and $s_{h}$. This term would be balanced neither by $R_{1}$ nor by $M_{1}^{n_{1}} G$. Therefore the claim is proven. Repeating Ritt's reduction for $R_{1}$ with respect to $F_{1}^{(h-2)}$ we obtain

$$
M_{1}^{n_{2}} R_{1}=Q_{h-2} F_{1}^{(h-2)}+R_{2}
$$

where $\operatorname{ord}_{s}\left(R_{2}\right) \leq h-2, Q_{h-2} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x)\{s, t\}$ and

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{t}\left(R_{2}\right) \leq \max \left\{\operatorname{ord}_{t}\left(R_{1}\right), h-2\right\} \leq \max \left\{\operatorname{ord}_{t}(G), h-1\right\}
$$

Therefore, we eventually reduce $G$ to $R=\operatorname{prem}\left(G, F_{1}\right)$ with property that

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{t}(R) \leq \max \left\{\operatorname{ord}_{t}(G), h-1\right\}
$$

Lemma 1.2. Let $F_{1}=M_{1} s^{\prime}-N_{1}, F_{2}=M_{2} t^{\prime}-N_{2}$. Let $G \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x)\{s, t\}$ with $h=\operatorname{ord}_{s}(G)$ and $k=\operatorname{ord}_{t}(G)$. Suppose that $R_{1}=\operatorname{prem}\left(G, F_{1}\right)$ and $R_{2}=\operatorname{prem}\left(R_{1}, F_{2}\right)$. Then

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{s}\left(R_{2}\right) \leq \max \{0, k-1, h-2\}, \quad \operatorname{ord}_{t}\left(R_{2}\right) \leq 0
$$

Proof. Let $h_{1}=\operatorname{ord}_{s}\left(R_{1}\right)$ and $k_{1}=\operatorname{ord}_{t}\left(R_{1}\right)$. By Lemma 1.1, we have

$$
h_{1} \leq 0, k_{1} \leq \max \{k, h-1\}
$$

Applying Lemma 1.1 for $R_{1}$, we again have

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{t}\left(R_{2}\right) \leq 0, \quad \operatorname{ord}_{s}\left(R_{2}\right) \leq \max \left\{h_{1}, k_{1}-1\right\} \leq \max \{0, k-1, h-2\}
$$

For any $G \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x)\{s, t\}$, consider the sequence $R_{1}=\operatorname{prem}\left(G, F_{1}\right), R_{2}=\operatorname{prem}\left(R_{1}, F_{2}\right)$, $R_{3}=\operatorname{prem}\left(R_{2}, F_{1}\right), R_{4}=\operatorname{prem}\left(R_{3}, F_{2}\right), \ldots$ Lemma 1.2 tells us that each two consecutive reduction by $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ returns a differential polynomial having of lower order than the previous one in both $s$ and $t$. Therefore, we eventually reach a differential polynomial which is reduced with respect to both $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$.

Definition 1.4. Let $F_{1}=M_{1} s^{\prime}-N_{1}, F_{2}=M_{2} t^{\prime}-N_{2}$. Let $G \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x)\{s, t\}$. By consecutive reductions with respect to $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ we have a unique representation

$$
M_{1}^{m} M_{2}^{n} G=\sum_{i} Q_{1 i} F_{1}^{(i)}+\sum_{i} Q_{2 i} F_{2}^{(i)}+R
$$

where $Q_{1 i}, Q_{2 i} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x)\{s, t\}, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, F_{1}^{(i)}$ and $F_{2}^{(i)}$ are the $i$-th derivatives of $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$, respectively, $R$ is reduced with respect to both $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$. We denote

$$
R=\operatorname{prem}\left(G, F_{1}, F_{2}\right)
$$

and call the differential pseudo remainder of $G$ with respect to $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$.
Lemma 1.3. Let

$$
I=\left\{G \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x)\{s, t\} \mid \operatorname{prem}\left(G, F_{1}, F_{2}\right)=0\right\} .
$$

Then $I$ is a differential prime ideal in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x)\{s, t\}$.
Proof. We first prove that $I$ is a differential ideal. It is clear that $I \supset\left\{F_{1}, F_{2}\right\}$. Let $G_{1}, G_{2} \in I$. Then we have

$$
M_{1}^{n_{1}} M_{2}^{m_{1}} G_{1} \in\left[F_{1}, F_{2}\right], \quad M_{1}^{n_{2}} M_{2}^{m_{2}} G_{2} \in\left[F_{1}, F_{2}\right]
$$

where $\left[F_{1}, F_{2}\right]$ is the differential ideal generated by $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$. Let $n=\max \left\{n_{1}, n_{2}\right\}$ and $m=\max \left\{m_{1}, m_{2}\right\}$. Then

$$
M_{1}^{n} M_{2}^{m}\left(G_{1}+G_{2}\right)=M_{1}^{n} M_{2}^{m} G_{1}+M_{1}^{n} M_{2}^{m} G_{2} \in\left[F_{1}, F_{2}\right]
$$

Thus

$$
\operatorname{prem}\left(G_{1}+G_{2}, F_{1}, F_{2}\right)=0
$$

In other words,

$$
G_{1}+G_{2} \in I
$$

Now, for any $G \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x)\{s, t\}$ we will prove that $G G_{1} \in I$. Since $M_{1}^{n_{1}} M_{2}^{m_{1}} G_{1} \in\left[F_{1}, F_{2}\right]$, we have

$$
M_{1}^{n_{1}} M_{2}^{m_{1}} G_{1} G \in\left[F_{1}, F_{2}\right]
$$

Thus $\operatorname{prem}\left(G G_{1}, F_{1}, F_{2}\right)=0$, which means $G G_{1} \in I$.
We also prove that $G_{1}^{\prime} \in I$. We have

$$
M_{1}^{n_{1}} M_{2}^{m_{1}} G_{1} \in\left[F_{1}, F_{2}\right]
$$

It follows that $\left(M_{1}^{n_{1}} M_{2}^{m_{1}} G_{1}\right)^{\prime} \in\left[F_{1}, F_{2}\right]$. Thus

$$
n_{1} M_{1}^{\prime} M_{1}^{n_{1}-1} M_{2}^{m_{1}} G_{1}+m_{1} M_{2}^{\prime} M_{1}^{n_{1}} M_{2}^{m_{1}-1} G_{1}+M_{1}^{n_{1}} M_{2}^{m_{1}} G_{1}^{\prime} \in\left[F_{1}, F_{2}\right]
$$

Multiplying by $M_{1} M_{2}$ we obtain

$$
M_{1}^{n_{1}+1} M_{2}^{m_{1}+1} G_{1}^{\prime} \in\left[F_{1}, F_{2}\right]
$$

which means $\operatorname{prem}\left(G_{1}^{\prime}, F_{1}, F_{2}\right)=0$. Therefore, $G_{1}^{\prime} \in I$. Hence $I$ is a differential ideal
in $K\{s, t\}$.
Moreover, let $G_{1}, G_{2} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x)\{s, t\}$ be such that $G_{1} G_{2} \in I$. Suppose that $M_{1}^{n_{1}} M_{2}^{m_{1}} G_{1} \equiv R_{1} \bmod F_{1}, F_{2} \quad$ and $M_{1}^{n_{2}} M_{2}^{m_{2}} G_{2} \equiv R_{2} \bmod F_{1}, F_{2}$.

Then $R_{1}, R_{2} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x)[s, t]$ and

$$
R_{1} R_{2}=\operatorname{prem}\left(R_{1} R_{2}, F_{1}, F_{2}\right) .
$$

On the other hand, since $\operatorname{prem}\left(G_{1} G_{2}, F_{1}, F_{2}\right)=0$, we have

$$
M_{1}^{n} M_{2}^{m} G_{1} G_{2} \equiv 0 \bmod F_{1}, F_{2} .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{1}^{n} M_{2}^{m} R_{1} R_{2} & \equiv M_{1}^{n_{1}+n_{2}} M_{2}^{m_{1}+m_{2}}\left(M_{1}^{n} M_{2}^{m} G_{1} G_{2}\right) \bmod F_{1}, F_{2} \\
& \equiv 0 \bmod F_{1}, F_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

This means

$$
R_{1} R_{2}=\operatorname{prem}\left(R_{1} R_{2}, F_{1}, F_{2}\right)=0 .
$$

Since $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x)[s, t]$ is an integral domain, we have either $R_{1}=0$ or $R_{2}=0$. Therefore, $I$ is a prime ideal.

## 2 The planar autonomous system of ODEs of first order, first degree with rational general solutions

Definition 2.1. Let $M_{1}, N_{1}, M_{2}, N_{2} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[s, t], M_{1}, M_{2} \neq 0$. A system of ordinary differential equations of the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
s^{\prime}=\frac{N_{1}(s, t)}{M_{1}(s, t)}  \tag{1}\\
t^{\prime}=\frac{N_{2}(s, t)}{M_{2}(s, t)}
\end{array}\right.
$$

is called a planar autonomous system of ODEs of first order and first degree in $s$ and $t$.

Definition 2.2. A rational solution $(s(x), t(x))$ of the system (1) is called a rational general solution if for any $G \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x)\{s, t\}$ we have

$$
G(s(x), t(x))=0 \Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{prem}\left(G, M_{1} s^{\prime}-N_{1}, M_{2} t^{\prime}-N_{2}\right)=0 .
$$

Remark 2.1. 1. A rational general solution of the system (1) is nothing but a generic zero of the prime differential ideal

$$
I=\left\{G \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x)\{s, t\} \mid \operatorname{prem}\left(G, M_{1} s^{\prime}-N_{1}, M_{2} t^{\prime}-N_{2}\right)=0\right\} .
$$

2. For any $G \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x)\{s, t\}$, $\operatorname{prem}\left(G, M_{1} s^{\prime}-N_{1}, M_{2} t^{\prime}-N_{2}\right) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x)[s, t]$.
3. Observe that if $(s(x), t(x))$ is a rational general solution of the system (1), then
for any polynomial $G \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x)[s, t]$ we have

$$
G(s(x), t(x))=0 \Longrightarrow G=0
$$

because $G=\operatorname{prem}\left(G, M_{1} s^{\prime}-N_{1}, M_{2} t^{\prime}-N_{2}\right)$.
Lemma 2.1. Let

$$
s(x)=\frac{a_{k} x^{k}+a_{k-1} x^{k-1}+\cdots+a_{0}}{b_{l} x^{l}+b_{l-1} x^{l-1}+\cdots+b_{0}}
$$

and

$$
t(x)=\frac{c_{n} x^{n}+c_{n-1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+c_{0}}{d_{m} x^{m}+d_{m-1} x^{m-1}+\cdots+d_{0}}
$$

be a rational solution of the system (1), where $a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i}, d_{i}$ are constants in an extension field of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x)$. If $(s(x), t(x))$ is a rational general solution of the system $\sqrt[11]{ }$, then there exists a constant in the coefficients of $s(x)$ and $t(x)$ such that it is transcendental over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Proof. Let

$$
S=\left(b_{l} x^{l}+b_{l-1} x^{l-1}+\cdots+b_{0}\right) s-\left(a_{k} x^{k}+a_{k-1} x^{k-1}+\cdots+a_{0}\right)
$$

and

$$
T=\left(d_{m} x^{m}+d_{m-1} x^{m-1}+\cdots+d_{0}\right) t-\left(c_{n} x^{n}+c_{n-1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+c_{0}\right)
$$

Let $G=\operatorname{res}_{x}(S, T)$. Then $G$ is a polynomial in $s$ and $t$ with the coefficients depending on $a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i}, d_{i}$. If all $a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i}, d_{i}$ were in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, then $G \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[s, t]$ and $G(s(x), t(x))=0$. Since $(s(x), t(x))$ is a rational general solution, it follows that $G=0$. This is impossible because $G=\operatorname{res}_{x}(S, T) \neq 0$, which is known for computing the implicit equation of the rational algebraic curve having the rational parametrization $(s(x), t(x))$ SWPD08]. Therefore, there is a coefficient that does not belong to $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Since $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is an algebraically closed field, a constant which is not in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ must be a transcendental element over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

The following lemma can be found in [FG06].
Lemma 2.2. There exists a differential polynomial $D_{n, m}(y)$ such that every rational function

$$
y=\frac{a_{n} x^{n}+a_{n-1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{0}}{b_{m} x^{m}+b_{m-1} x^{m-1}+\cdots+b_{0}}
$$

is a solution of $D_{n, m}(y)$. Moreover, the differential polynomial $D_{n, m}(y)$ has only rational solutions.

Proof.

$$
D_{n, m}(y)=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\binom{n+1}{0} y^{(n+1)} & \binom{n+1}{1} y^{(n)} & \cdots & \binom{n+1}{m} y^{(n+1-m)} \\
\binom{n+2}{0} y^{(n+2)} & \binom{n+2}{1} y^{(n+1)} & \cdots & \binom{n+2}{m} y^{(n+2-m)} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
\binom{n+1+m}{0} y^{(n+1+m)} & \binom{n+1+m}{1} y^{(n+m)} & \cdots & \binom{n+1+m}{m} y^{(n+1)}
\end{array}\right|
$$

Using Gao's differential polynomial we have the following criterion.
Theorem 2.1. The system (1) has a rational general solution $(s(x), t(x))$ with $\operatorname{deg} s(x)$ $\leq n$ and $\operatorname{deg} t(x) \leq m$ if and only if

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{prem}\left(D_{n, n}(s), M_{1} s^{\prime}-N_{1}, M_{2} t^{\prime}-N_{2}\right)=0  \tag{2}\\
\operatorname{prem}\left(D_{m, m}(t), M_{1} s^{\prime}-N_{1}, M_{2} t^{\prime}-N_{2}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. Suppose that the system (1) has a rational general solution $(s(x), t(x))$ with $\operatorname{deg} s(x) \leq n$ and $\operatorname{deg} t(x) \leq m$. Then $(s(x), t(x))$ is a solution of $D_{n, n}(s)$ and $D_{m, m}(t)$ as well. By the definition of rational general solutions of the system (1) we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{prem}\left(D_{n, n}(s), M_{1} s^{\prime}-N_{1}, M_{2} t^{\prime}-N_{2}\right)=0 \\
\operatorname{prem}\left(D_{m, m}(t), M_{1} s^{\prime}-N_{1}, M_{2} t^{\prime}-N_{2}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Conversely, if these two conditions hold, then $D_{n, n}(s)$ and $D_{m, m}(t)$ belong to the prime differential ideal $I$ as defined in Lemma 1.3. Since $I$ is a prime ideal, it has a generic zero. This generic zero is a zero of $D_{n, n}(s)$ and $D_{m, m}(t)$. By Lemma 2.2 these two differential polynomials have only rational solutions. Therefore, the generic zero of $I$ must be rational.

REMARK 2.2. If we know a degree bound of the rational solutions of the system (1), then Theorem 2.1 gives us a criterion for existence of rational general solutions of the system (1).

In the paper Ngo09 we have studied the algebraic ODE of first order $F\left(x, y, y^{\prime}\right)=0$ given a proper rational parametrization

$$
\mathcal{P}(s, t)=\left(\chi_{1}(s, t), \chi_{2}(s, t), \chi_{3}(s, t)\right)
$$

of its corresponding surface $F(x, y, z)=0$. We know that its associated system is a planar autonomous system. Moreover, every rational solution $(s(x), t(x))$ of this associated system satisfies the condition

$$
\chi_{1}(s(x), t(x))=x
$$

From this condition we can see that the degree of $t(x)$ is determined in terms of the degree of $s(x)$ and the degree of $\chi_{1}(s, t)$ with respect to $s$.

Theorem 2.2. Let

$$
\chi_{1}(s, t)=\frac{a_{n}(t) s^{n}+a_{n-1}(t) s^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{0}(t)}{b_{m}(t) s^{m}+b_{m-1}(t) s^{m-1}+\cdots+b_{0}(t)} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x)(s, t)
$$

Suppose that $s(x)$ and $t(x)$ are rational functions such that $\chi_{1}(s(x), t(x))=x$. Let $\delta=\operatorname{deg}_{x} s(x)$. Then

1. If $n \geq m$, then $\operatorname{deg}_{x} t(x) \leq 1+n \delta$.
2. If $n<m$, then $\operatorname{deg}_{x} t(x) \leq 1+m \delta$.

Proof. We have

$$
\chi_{1}(s(x), t(x))=x \Longleftrightarrow \frac{a_{n}(t(x)) s(x)^{n}+a_{n-1}(t(x)) s(x)^{n-1} \cdots+a_{0}(t(x))}{b_{m}(t(x)) s(x)^{m}+b_{m-1}(t) s(x)^{m-1} \cdots+b_{0}(t(x))}=x
$$

Suppose that $\mathbb{K}$ is the coefficient field of $s(x)$ and $t(x)$. We know that for any rational function $t \in \mathbb{K}(x), x$ is algebraic over $\mathbb{K}(t)$ and

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{x} t(x)=[\mathbb{K}(x): \mathbb{K}(t)]
$$

Therefore, in order to find a degree bound for $t$, it is enough to find an algebraic equation for $x$ over $\mathbb{K}(t)$. Let $s=\frac{P(x)}{Q(x)}, \delta=\operatorname{deg}_{x} s(x)=\max \left\{\operatorname{deg}_{x} P(x), \operatorname{deg}_{x} Q(x)\right\}$, $l=\operatorname{deg}_{x} Q(x)$. We have

$$
x=\frac{Q(x)^{m}}{Q(x)^{n}} \frac{\left(a_{n}(t) P(x)^{n}+\cdots+a_{0}(t) Q(x)^{n}\right)}{\left(b_{m}(t) P(x)^{m}+\cdots+b_{0}(t) Q(x)^{m}\right)}
$$

We need to know the degree of $x$ in the above equation. It follows from $l \leq \delta$ that if $n \geq m$, then

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{x} t(x) \leq \max \{1+m \delta+l(n-m), n \delta\} \leq 1+n \delta
$$

If $n<m$, then

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{x} t(x) \leq \max \{1+m \delta, n \delta+l(m-n)\} \leq 1+m \delta
$$

### 2.1 The linear system of autonomous ODEs

Consider the linear system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
s^{\prime}=a s+b t+e  \tag{3}\\
t^{\prime}=c s+d t+h
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $a, b, c, d, e, h$ are constants. We claim that
LEMMA 2.3. Every rational solution of the linear system is a polynomial solution.
Proof. Assume that $s(x)=\frac{A}{p^{\alpha} B}, t(x)=\frac{C}{p^{\beta} D}$, where $p$ is an irreducible polynomial and $\alpha, \beta>0 ; A, B, C, D$ have no factor of $p$. Then

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(s^{\prime}(x)\right)=\alpha+1 \quad \operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(t^{\prime}(x)\right)=\beta+1
$$

We have

$$
a s+b t+e=a \frac{A}{p^{\alpha} B}+b \frac{C}{p^{\beta} D}+e .
$$

Hence

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{p}(a s+b t+e) \leq \max \{\alpha, \beta\}, \quad \operatorname{ord}_{p}(c s+d t+h) \leq \max \{\alpha, \beta\}
$$

There are two cases

- If $\alpha \geq \beta$, then $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(a s+b t+e) \leq \alpha<\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(s^{\prime}(x)\right)$. It is impossible.
- If $\alpha<\beta$, then $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(c s+d t+h) \leq \beta<\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(t^{\prime}(x)\right)$. It is impossible.

Therefore, $\alpha=\beta=0$. Thus $s(x), t(x)$ are polynomials.
THEOREM 2.3. Every rational general solution of the linear system (3) is a couple of polynomials of degree at most 2.

Proof. We can write the linear system in the form

$$
\binom{s^{\prime}}{t^{\prime}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)\binom{s}{t}+\binom{e}{h}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\binom{s^{\prime \prime}}{t^{\prime \prime}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)^{2}\binom{s}{t}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)\binom{e}{h} \\
& \vdots \\
&\binom{s^{(n+1)}}{t^{(n+1)}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)^{n+1}\binom{s}{t}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)^{n}\binom{e}{h} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The system has a polynomial general solution of degree $n$ iff

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{prem}\left(s^{(n+1)},\left[s^{\prime}-a s-b t-e, t^{\prime}-c s-d t-h\right]\right)=0 \\
\operatorname{prem}\left(t^{(n+1)},\left[s^{\prime}-a s-b t-e, t^{\prime}-c s-d t-h\right]\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

It means

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)^{n+1}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)^{n}\binom{e}{h}=0
$$

We will prove that this holds if and only if

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)^{2}=0
$$

In fact, the "if" is clear. Conversely, let

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)^{n}=0
$$

Then $a d-b c=0$ and the Jordan form of the matrix is

$$
\text { either }\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & a+d
\end{array}\right) \text { or }\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Therefore, $a+d=0$ and $n=2$.

We have

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)^{2}=0 \Longleftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a^{2}+b c=0 \\
b(a+d)=0 \\
c(a+d)=0 \\
d^{2}+b c=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Solving this algebraic system we obtain the following cases

- If $b=0$, then $a=d=0$.
- If $b \neq 0$, then $a=-d$ and $c=-\frac{d^{2}}{b}$.

Thus the explicit polynomial solutions of the system given by the following table.

| System | Rational general solutions |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}s(x)=e x+C_{1} \\ t(x)=h x+C_{2}\end{array}\right.$ |
| $\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ c & 0\end{array}\right)$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}s(x)=e x+C_{1} \\ t(x)=c e \frac{x^{2}}{2}+\left(c C_{1}+h\right) x+C_{2}\end{array}\right.$ |
| $\left(\begin{array}{cc}-d & b \\ -\frac{d^{2}}{b} & d\end{array}\right)$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}s(x)=\frac{h b-e d}{2} x^{2}+\left(b C_{1}+e\right) x+C_{2} \\ t(x)=\frac{(h b-e d) d}{2 b} x^{2}+\left(d C_{1}+h\right) x+\frac{d}{b} C_{2}+C_{1}\end{array}\right.$ |

Note that the last matrix of the table also covers the other symmetric cases, for instance

$$
d=0 \longrightarrow\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & b \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad d=-a, b=-\frac{a^{2}}{c} \longrightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & -\frac{a^{2}}{c} \\
c & -a
\end{array}\right)
$$

We can prove that they are rational general solutions of the corresponding system. For instance, consider a simple system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
s^{\prime}=e \\
t^{\prime}=h
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $e, h$ are not all zero. It turns out that the system has a solution given by

$$
s(x)=e x+C_{1}, t(x)=h x+C_{2}
$$

where $C_{1}, C_{2}$ are arbitrary constants. The implicit equation of $(s(x), t(x))$ is

$$
e t-h s+h C_{1}-e C_{2}=0
$$

Let $G \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[s, t]$ be such that $G(s(x), t(x))=0$. Then $e t-h s+h C_{1}-e C_{2}$ is a factor of $G$ for arbitrary constant $C=h C_{1}-e C_{2}$. It implies that $G=0$. Therefore, $(s(x), t(x))$ is a rational general solution of the given system. Using a similar argument we prove for the other systems in the table.

### 2.2 The polynomial system of autonomous ODEs

In this section we present some simple properties of the polynomial system of autonomous ODEs. Consider the system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
s^{\prime}=P(s, t)  \tag{4}\\
t^{\prime}=Q(s, t)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $P$ and $Q$ are polynomials in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}[s, t]$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(P, Q)=1$.
Lemma 2.4. Let $(s(x), t(x))$ be a rational solution of the system 4 Let $F(s, t)$ be the implicit irreducible polynomial defining the parametric curve $(s(x), t(x))$. Then there exists a polynomial $G(s, t)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{s} P+F_{t} Q=F G \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{s}$ and $F_{t}$ are partial derivatives of $F$ with respect to $s$ and $t$. Conversely, suppose that $F(s, t)$ is an irreducible polynomial satisfying the equation (5) for some $G$ and $(s(x), t(x))$ is a rational parametrization of $F(s, t)=0$. Then

$$
s^{\prime}(x) \cdot Q(s(x), t(x))-t^{\prime}(x) \cdot P(s(x), t(x))=0 .
$$

Proof. Suppose that the system (4) has a rational solution $(s(x), t(x))$. Let $F(s, t)$ be the defining polynomial of the rational algebraic curve $(s(x), t(x))$. We have

$$
F(s(x), t(x))=0 .
$$

Differentiating this equation with respect to $x$ we get

$$
F_{s}(s(x), t(x)) \cdot s^{\prime}(x)+F_{t}(s(x), t(x)) \cdot t^{\prime}(x)=0,
$$

hence

$$
F_{s}(s(x), t(x)) \cdot P(s(x), t(x))+F_{t}(s(x), t(x)) \cdot Q(s(x), t(x))=0 .
$$

Therefore, there exists a polynomial $G(s, t)$ such that

$$
F_{s} P+F_{t} Q=F G .
$$

Conversely, assume that $F(s, t)$ is an irreducible polynomial satisfying the equation

$$
F_{s} P+F_{t} Q=F G
$$

for some $G \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[s, t]$. Suppose that $(s(x), t(x))$ is a rational parametrization of $F(s, t)=$ 0 . We have

$$
F_{s}(s(x), t(x)) \cdot s^{\prime}(x)+F_{t}(s(x), t(x)) \cdot t^{\prime}(x)=0 .
$$

On the other hand, because of $F(s(x), t(x))=0$,
$F_{s}(s(x), t(x)) \cdot P(s(x), t(x))+F_{t}(s(x), t(x)) \cdot Q(s(x), t(x))=F(s(x), t(x)) \cdot G(s(x), t(x))=0$.

It follows that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
F_{s}(s(x), t(x)) \cdot s^{\prime}(x)+F_{t}(s(x), t(x)) \cdot t^{\prime}(x)=0 \\
F_{s}(s(x), t(x)) \cdot P(s(x), t(x))+F_{t}(s(x), t(x)) \cdot Q(s(x), t(x))=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since $F(s, t)$ is irreducible, $F_{s}(s(x), t(x))$ and $F_{t}(s(x), t(x))$ are non-zero rational functions in $x$. Therefore, the determinant of this homogeneous system is 0 , i.e.

$$
s^{\prime}(x) \cdot Q(s(x), t(x))-t^{\prime}(x) \cdot P(s(x), t(x))=0
$$

Lemma 2.5. Let $F(s, t)$ be an irreducible polynomial such that

$$
F_{s} P+F_{t} Q=F G
$$

for some $G(s, t)$. If $F(0,0)=F_{s}(0,0)=F_{t}(0,0)=0$ and $F_{s s}(0,0) F_{t t}(0,0)-F_{s t}^{2}(0,0) \neq$ 0 , then

$$
P(0,0)=Q(0,0)=0
$$

Proof. Consider the Taylor expansion of $F(s, t)$ at $(0,0)$ we have

$$
F(s, t)=\frac{1}{2!}\left(F_{s s}(0,0) s^{2}+2 F_{s t}(0,0) s t+F_{t t}(0,0) t^{2}\right)+\text { higher order }
$$

Then

$$
F_{s}(s, t)=F_{s s}(0,0) s+F_{s t}(0,0) t+\text { higher order }
$$

and

$$
F_{t}(s, t)=F_{s t}(0,0) s+F_{t t}(0,0) t+\text { higher order }
$$

Suppose that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(s, t)=p_{0}+p_{1} s+p_{2} t+\text { higher order } \\
& Q(s, t)=q_{0}+q_{1} s+q_{2} t+\text { higher order }
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{s} P+F_{t} Q & =p_{0}\left(F_{s s}(0,0) s+F_{s t}(0,0) t\right)+q_{0}\left(F_{s t}(0,0) s+F_{t t}(0,0) t\right)+\text { higher order } \\
& =\left(p_{0} F_{s s}(0,0)+q_{0} F_{s t}(0,0)\right) s+\left(p_{0} F_{s t}(0,0)+q_{0} F_{t t}(0,0)\right) t+\text { higher order }
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $F G$ is of order at least 2 , we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
p_{0} F_{s s}(0,0)+q_{0} F_{s t}(0,0)=0 \\
p_{0} F_{s t}(0,0)+q_{0} F_{t t}(0,0)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

It follows from the assumption $F_{s s}(0,0) F_{t t}(0,0)-F_{s t}^{2}(0,0) \neq 0$ that

$$
p_{0}=q_{0}=0
$$

Therefore, $P(0,0)=Q(0,0)=0$.
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