

A Computable Extension for Holonomic Functions: DD-Finite Functions

Antonio Jiménez-Pastor

Veronika Pillwein

DK-Report No. 2017-10

12 2017

A-4040 LINZ, ALTENBERGERSTRASSE 69, AUSTRIA

Supported by

Austrian Science Fund (FWF)



FWF

Der Wissenschaftsfonds.

Upper Austria



Editorial Board: Bruno Buchberger
Bert Jüttler
Ulrich Langer
Manuel Kauers
Esther Klann
Peter Paule
Clemens Pechstein
Veronika Pillwein
Silviu Radu
Ronny Ramlau
Josef Schicho
Wolfgang Schreiner
Franz Winkler
Walter Zulehner

Managing Editor: Silviu Radu

Communicated by: Peter Paule
Manuel Kauers

DK sponsors:

- **Johannes Kepler University Linz (JKU)**
- **Austrian Science Fund (FWF)**
- **Upper Austria**

A computable extension for holonomic functions: DD-finite functions

Antonio Jiménez-Pastor^a, Veronika Pillwein^b

^a*Doctoral Program Computational Mathematics, JKU, Linz*

^b*Research Institute for Symbolic Computation, JKU, Linz*

Abstract

Differentiably finite (D-finite) formal power series form a large class of useful functions for which a variety of symbolic algorithms exists. Among these methods are several closure properties that can be carried out automatic. We introduce a natural extension of these functions to a larger class of computable objects for which we prove closure properties. These are again algorithmic. This extension can be iterated constructively preserving the closure properties.

1. Introduction

During the past decades, the symbolic treatment of functions satisfying ordinary linear differential equations with polynomial coefficients has been widely investigated. A formal power series $\sum f_n x^n$ with this property is called holonomic or *differentiably finite* or in short D-finite [12, 19, 20]. Such (formal) power series are interesting for different reasons: many special functions [3, 16] are of this type as well as the generating functions of many combinatorial sequences arising in applications; it is well known that D-finite functions are closed under operations such as addition, multiplication, algebraic substitution, etc.; furthermore, for this large class several algorithms have been developed and implemented in various computer algebra systems [4, 13, 11]. For the latter, a necessary key feature is the finite representation of these objects. In order to specify a D-finite function only the order of the equation, the polynomial coefficients, and sufficiently many initial values need to be stored. Given functions in this form, a defining differential equation of the same type (plus initial values) for their sum, product, etc., can be computed from this input automatically. These algorithms can be used to prove identities for special functions or sequences in enumerative combinatorics [10, 15].

Even though many interesting objects are D-finite and can be covered by existing algorithms, there is more out there. Van Hoeij [22] has considered the computation of formal solutions of linear differential equations with coefficients living in a differential field and the (approximate) factorization of differential operators of this type. Since general elements in this field consist of infinitely many terms, the factorization can only be determined up to some finite accuracy. The problem of determining regular solutions of linear differential systems of arbitrary order with power series coefficients has very recently been studied by Abramov et al. [2, 1]. In our work we are not focused on finding closed form solutions, but we want to provide tools to carry out exact computations with functions satisfying linear differential equations, even if no closed form solution exists. One goal being to prove identities for these functions using closure properties as in the D-finite case.

The bigger class that we introduce are functions satisfying linear differential equations with *D-finite* coefficients and we refer to this class as *DD-finite functions*. As we stressed earlier, D-finite functions form a large class of useful functions - hence DD-finite functions are a considerable extension. In fact all examples given in the papers of van Hoeij and Abramov et al. are actually of this type. Also these functions can be represented exactly using a finite amount of data: the order of the equation, the finitely representable D-finite coefficients, and sufficiently many initial values. Simple examples of DD-finite but not D-finite functions are $\exp(\exp(x))$, $\tan(x)$, and Mathieu's functions [5].

Email addresses: antonio.jimenez-pastor@dk-compmath.jku.at (Antonio Jiménez-Pastor), vpillwei@risc.jku.at (Veronika Pillwein)

This research was funded by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): W1214-N15, project DK15.

It turns out that we can carry over the classical closure properties to the setting of DD-finite functions and can even be proven in a more general setting that allows to iterate our construction. The proofs of these generalizations can be interpreted constructively and the closure properties can be implemented to be carried out entirely automatically. In this paper we first set up the definitions and basic properties of DD-finite functions. Then several closure properties are proven and examples are given. At this stage we do not yet discuss the issue of initial values much (as it also does not affect the results and computations). Initial values and uniqueness of the definition are addressed in a separate section. We provide some simple examples to illustrate the results.

2. Differentially definable functions

In the present section we develop the mathematical framework for DD-finite functions, i.e., functions satisfying linear differential equations with D-finite coefficients. Throughout this paper we fix the following notation: K is a field of characteristic zero, $K[[x]]$ denotes the ring of formal power series over K , ∂ the standard derivation in $K[[x]]$, and $\langle S \rangle_K$ the K -vector space generated by the set S .

Definition 2.1. Let R be a non-trivial differential subring of $K[[x]]$ and $R[\partial]$ the ring of linear differential operators over R . We call $f \in K[[x]]$ *differentially definable over R* if there is a non-zero operator $\mathcal{A} \in R[\partial]$ that annihilates f , i.e., $\mathcal{A} \cdot f = 0$. By $D(R)$ we denote the set of all $f \in K[[x]]$ that are differentially definable over R . We define the *order of f w.r.t. R* as the minimal order of the operators that annihilate f (i.e., the minimal ∂ -degree of $\mathcal{A} \in R[\partial]$ such that $\mathcal{A} \cdot f = 0$).

For non-trivial subrings of $K[[x]]$ the set of differentially definable functions is never empty. In fact, the set $D(R)$ extends the base ring R .

Lemma 2.2. *Let R be a differential subring of $K[[x]]$. Then $R \subset D(R)$.*

Proof. Let $f \in R$ and $\mathcal{A} = f\partial - f'$. Clearly, $\mathcal{A} \in R[\partial]$, and $\mathcal{A} \cdot f = f\partial(f) - f'f = ff' - f'f = 0$. \square

For $R = K$, Definition 2.1 yields the ring of formal power series satisfying linear differential equations with *constant* coefficients, also referred to as C-finite functions. If R is the ring of polynomials in x over K , $K[x]$, then Definition 2.1 gives the classical D-finite functions. The new class of *DD-finite functions* can now be defined as follows.

Example 2.3 (DD-finite). Let R be the set of D-finite functions. It is well known [12] that these functions are closed under addition, multiplication and derivation, i.e., they form a differential subring of $K[[x]]$. Then,

$$D(R) = \{f \in K[[x]] : f \text{ is DD-Finite}\} = D(D(K[x])) = D^2(K[x]).$$

For classical D-finite functions there exist equivalent characterizations that help prove certain properties. These characterizations can be carried over immediately to differentially definable functions.

Theorem 2.4. *Let R be a differential subring of $K[[x]]$, $R[\partial]$ the ring of linear differential operators over R , and $F = Q(R)$ be the fraction field of R . Let $f \in K[[x]]$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) f is differentially definable over R .
- (ii) (Inhomogeneous) There are $\mathcal{A} \in R[\partial]$ and $g \in D(R)$ such that $\mathcal{A} \cdot f = g$.
- (iii) (Finite dimension) The F -vector space generated by the set $\{f^{(i)} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ has finite dimension.

Proof. First suppose that (ii) holds, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{A} \cdot f = g.$$

Then, there is a $\mathcal{B} \in R[\partial]$ that annihilates g , because $g \in D(R)$. Hence,

$$\mathcal{B} \cdot (\mathcal{A} \cdot f - g) = (\mathcal{B}\mathcal{A}) \cdot f = 0.$$

Since $(\mathcal{B}\mathcal{A}) \in R[\partial]$, f is differentially definable over R , i.e., (ii) \Rightarrow (i).

Suppose now that (i) holds for some operator \mathcal{A} of order d . We are going to prove that for all $i \geq d$ $f^{(i)}(x)$ is an F -linear combination of the previous derivatives (iii).

Let $\mathcal{A} = r_0 + \cdots + r_d \partial^d$, for $r_i \in R$ and consider $\mathcal{A}_i = \partial^i \cdot \mathcal{A}$. Then for each i , $\mathcal{A}_i \in R[\partial]$ has order $d+i$ and leading coefficient r_d . Moreover,

$$\mathcal{A}_i \cdot f = (\partial^i \cdot \mathcal{A}) \cdot f = \partial^i \cdot (\mathcal{A} \cdot f) = \partial^i(0) = 0.$$

Thus, for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, there are elements $s_0, \dots, s_{d+i-1} \in R$ such that

$$s_0 f + \cdots + s_{d+i-1} f^{(d+i-1)} + r_d f^{(d+i)} = 0,$$

and then the following identity holds:

$$f^{(d+i)} = -\frac{s_0}{r_d} f - \cdots - \frac{s_{d+i-1}}{r_d} f^{(d+i-1)}.$$

Since all $-\frac{s_i}{r_d} \in F$, we have that $f^{(d+i)}$ is an F -linear combination of the first $d+i-1$ derivatives of f for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence,

$$\langle f^{(i)} : i \in \mathbb{N} \rangle_F = \langle f, f', \dots, f^{(d-1)} \rangle_F.$$

Finally, suppose now that (iii) holds and the F -vector space generated by the derivatives of f has finite dimension d . Then we have that the set $\{f, f', \dots, f^{(d)}\}$ is linearly dependent, i.e., for $i = 0, \dots, d$ there are fractions $\frac{r_i}{s_i} \in F$ such that

$$\frac{r_0}{s_0} f + \cdots + \frac{r_d}{s_d} f^{(d)} = 0.$$

Let S be a common multiple of $\{s_0, \dots, s_d\}$ and $p_i = Sr_i/s_i \in R$. Then

$$p_0 f + \cdots + p_d f^{(d)} = 0.$$

For $\mathcal{A} = p_0 + \cdots + p_d \partial^d \in R[\partial]$ we have that $\mathcal{A} \cdot f = 0$, i.e., (ii) holds with $g = 0 \in D(R)$. \square

3. Closure properties

D -finite functions satisfy several closure properties [12] and these are the key to proving identities for holonomic functions [10]. In this section we derive the analogous closure properties for differentially definable (and in particular DD-finite) functions. Furthermore it is easy to see that the construction of differentially definable functions can be iterated arbitrarily often. Starting from a fixed differential subring R of $K[[x]]$ a new differential subring is obtained. Iterating the procedure we obtain $D^k(R)$, the set of differentially definable functions over $D^{k-1}(R)$. The closure properties that we derive here hold in every layer of this hierarchy. We first present those for derivatives and antiderivatives.

Proposition 3.1 (Differential closure properties). *Let R be a differential subring of $K[[x]]$ and $f \in D(R)$ with order d . Then:*

- Any antiderivative g of f (i.e., $g' = f$) is in $D(R)$ with order at most $d+1$.
- $f' \in D(R)$ with order at most d .

Proof. First, we prove that any antiderivative is in $D(R)$. Let $\mathcal{A} \in R[\partial]$ with order d such that $\mathcal{A} \cdot f = 0$. Then we plug in the expression $g' = \partial \cdot g = f$, obtaining

$$0 = \mathcal{A} \cdot f = \mathcal{A} \cdot (\partial \cdot g) = (\mathcal{A}\partial) \cdot g.$$

Thus if f has order d , any antiderivate has at most order $d+1$.

To prove the closure property for the derivative we use a similar idea, but we need to distinguish two cases. Let $\mathcal{A} \in R[\partial]$ be an operator that annihilates f of the form

$$\mathcal{A} = r_d \partial^d + \cdots + r_1 \partial + r_0.$$

If $r_0 = 0$ we can extract a right factor ∂ from \mathcal{A} obtaining

$$0 = \mathcal{A} \cdot f = (r_d \partial^{d-1} + \dots + r_1) \cdot f'.$$

Hence $f' \in D(R)$ with order at most $d - 1$.

Now consider the case where $r_0 \neq 0$. Then it is easy to check that also the operator

$$\mathcal{B} = (r_0 \partial - r'_0) \mathcal{A} = r_0 (\partial \mathcal{A}) - r'_0 \mathcal{A}$$

annihilates f . Indeed, we have that the coefficient of ∂^0 in \mathcal{B} is

$$[\partial^0] \mathcal{B} = r_0 r'_0 - r'_0 r_0 = 0.$$

So, by the same reasoning as in the case $r_0 = 0$, we obtain an operator annihilating $f' \in D(R)$ of order at most d . \square

Note that in the proof above only basic ring operations in $R[\partial]$ were needed. Next, we prove the closure properties for addition and Cauchy product.

Proposition 3.2 (Arithmetic closure properties). *Let R be a differential subring of $K[[x]]$. Let $f, g \in D(R)$ with orders d_1, d_2 , respectively. Then:*

- $h = f + g$ is in $D(R)$ with order at most $d_1 + d_2$.
- $h = fg$ is in $D(R)$ with order at most $d_1 d_2$.

Proof. Let F be the field of fractions of R . Define for $f(x) \in K[[x]]$ the F -vector space

$$V_F(f) = \langle f(x), f'(x), \dots \rangle_F = \langle f^{(i)}(x) : i \in \mathbb{N} \rangle_F.$$

Then, using Theorem 2.4, we obtain

$$\dim(V_F(f)) = d_1, \quad \dim(V_F(g)) = d_2.$$

On the other hand, if we compute the k th derivative of the addition and product of f and g we have:

- $(f + g)^{(k)} = f^{(k)} + g^{(k)} \in V_F(f) + V_F(g)$.
- $(fg)^{(k)} = \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} f^{(i)} g^{(k-i)} \in V_F(f) \otimes V_F(g)$.

Thus we have that $V_F(f + g) \subset V_F(f) + V_F(g)$ and that $V_F(fg) \subset V_F(f) \otimes V_F(g)$, and so:

$$\dim(V_F(f + g)) \leq \dim(V_F(f)) + \dim(V_F(g)) = d_1 + d_2 < \infty,$$

$$\dim(V_F(fg)) \leq \dim(V_F(f)) \dim(V_F(g)) = d_1 d_2 < \infty.$$

Hence both $f + g$ and fg are in $D(R)$ with orders at most $d_1 + d_2$ and $d_1 d_2$, respectively. \square

Although the proof presented here is not constructive, still a usual algorithm via ansatz can be derived to effectively compute an operator annihilating the addition or product of two functions, given their annihilating operators. The bound for the dimension of the F -vector space is the key to find a non-trivial operator. Let h be the function that we want to compute and d be the bound for its order. Then the algorithm proceeds as follows:

1. For $i = 0, \dots, d$ express $h^{(i)}$ in the basis of $V_F(f) + V_F(g)$ or $V_F(f) \otimes V_F(g)$, respectively.
2. Set up an ansatz with undetermined coefficients of the form,

$$\alpha_d h^{(d)} + \dots + \alpha_0 h = 0.$$

3. Obtain a linear system for the α_j by equating like coefficients of the basis elements to zero.

Since there are $d + 1$ variables and at most d equations to set up the linear system it has a non-trivial solution. Note that in order to implement this algorithm, the nullspace must be effectively computable in R . For more details we refer to a forthcoming paper [8].

An immediate consequence of the closure properties of Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 is that $D(R)$ is a differential subring of $K[[x]]$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let R be a differential subring of $K[[x]]$. Then $D(R)$ is a differential subring of $K[[x]]$.*

Hence, starting from any differential subring R of $K[[x]]$, the process of constructing the differentially definable functions over R can be iterated and, for each layer of $D^k(R)$, the closure properties hold. This in particular also includes the following two results.

Proposition 3.4 (Algebraic Closure). *Let R be a differential subring of $K[[x]]$ and F its field of fractions. If $f \in K[[x]]$ is algebraic over F then $f \in D(R)$.*

Proof. Suppose that f is algebraic over F and let $m(y) \in F[y]$ be its minimal polynomial. Let κ_∂ denote the coefficient-wise derivation on $F[y]$ induced by the given derivation ∂ on F . Then differentiating the equation $m(f) = 0$, we get that

$$\partial_y m(f) f' + \kappa_\partial(m)(f) = 0. \quad (1)$$

As $m(y)$ is irreducible and $\deg_y(m) > \deg_y(\partial_y m)$, they are coprime polynomials in $F[y]$. Hence, there are $s(y), r(y) \in F[y]$ such that

$$r(y)m(y) + s(y)\partial_y m(y) = 1,$$

and substituting $y = f$ we obtain

$$s(f)\partial_y m(f) = 1.$$

Using this equality in (1), we have that

$$f' = -s(f)\kappa_\partial(m)(f) = \tilde{m}(f).$$

Now for any g with $g = p(f)$ for some $p(y) \in F[y]$,

$$g' = \partial_y p(f(x)) f' + \kappa_\partial p(f) = (\partial_y p(y) \tilde{m}(y) + \kappa_\partial p(y))(f).$$

In other words, all the derivatives of any g that can be written as a polynomial in f with coefficients in F can be expressed in the same way. Summarizing we have

$$\langle f^{(i)} : i \in \mathbb{N} \rangle_F \subset \langle f^i : i \in \mathbb{N} \rangle_F.$$

Hence the F -vector space generated by f and its derivatives has dimension at most $\deg_y(m)$, so by Theorem 2.4 $f \in D(R)$ with order at most $\deg_y(m)$. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Let R be a differential subring of $K[[x]]$, $r \in R$ and $f \in D(R)$.*

- (i) *If $r(0) \neq 0$ then its multiplicative inverse $1/r$ in the ring of formal power series is in $D(R)$ with order 1.*
- (ii) *If $f(0) \neq 0$ and f has order 1 then its multiplicative inverse $1/f$ in the ring of formal power series is in $D(R)$ with order 1.*
- (iii) *If there is $g \in K[[x]]$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f = gr^n$, then $g \in D(R)$ with order at most the order of f .*

Proof. Since by Lemma 2.2 $R \subset D(R)$ and all elements of R are of order 1, (i) is a consequence of (ii). For proving (ii), consider $\mathcal{A} \in R[\partial]$ that annihilates f of the form

$$\mathcal{A} = a\partial + b.$$

As $f(0) \neq 0$, it follows that $f^{-1} \in K[[x]]$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = a\partial - b$. Then,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \cdot f^{-1} = -\frac{af'}{f^2} - \frac{b}{f} = -\frac{af' + bf}{f^2} = -\frac{\mathcal{A} \cdot f}{f^2} = 0,$$

hence $f^{-1} \in \mathbf{D}(R)$ with order 1.

Finally, for proving (iii) is enough to plug the formula,

$$f^{(k)} = (gr^n)^{(k)} = \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} g^{(i)} (r^n)^{(k-i)},$$

into the equation $\mathcal{A} \cdot f = 0$ and rearrange terms to obtain the operator annihilating g . The resulting operator only depends on the coefficients of \mathcal{A} , binomial coefficients, and powers of r . As R is a differential subring of $K[[x]]$, provided that $f \in \mathbf{D}(R)$, this operator is in $R[\partial]$. These calculations do not affect the order of the operator, hence g has at most the same order as f . \square

4. A concrete example: the tangent

In order to illustrate the execution of closure properties, we consider the simple example of the tangent. It is one of the basic examples of a function that is *not* D-finite [14, 6], but it is DD-finite. The sine ($\sin(x)$) and cosine ($\cos(x)$) are D-finite as they both satisfy the linear differential equation with polynomial coefficients $f''(x) + f(x) = 0$. Hence by Lemma 2.2 both are DD-finite and by Lemma 3.5 the multiplicative inverse of the cosine is DD-finite. Applying the closure property for multiplication from Proposition 3.2, we can conclude that the tangent $\tan(x) = \sin(x)/\cos(x)$ is DD-Finite.

As an input for computing the annihilating operator for the tangent, we need operators annihilating $\sin(x)$ and $1/\cos(x)$, respectively. For the sine we may either use the above-mentioned operator

$$\mathcal{A} = \partial^2 + 1,$$

or, following the argument in the proof of Lemma 2.2, we also have that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \sin(x)\partial - \partial(\sin(x)) = \sin(x)\partial - \cos(x)$$

annihilates the sine. From Lemma 3.5 we obtain the following annihilating operator for $1/\cos(x)$

$$\mathcal{B} = \cos(x)\partial - \sin(x).$$

Next we derive annihilating operators with D-finite coefficients for the tangent for either of the operators \mathcal{A} and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$, yielding operators of order one and two, respectively. We show these computations using sine and cosine explicitly. Note that in general, we represent all coefficients in terms of their defining equations with polynomial coefficients using closure properties in the respective ring of \mathbf{D}^k finite functions. Furthermore we do not operate division-free in this example in order to obtain more easily readable results. For details on the actual implementation (features and issues) we refer to [8].

Example 4.1 (Tangent with order 1). Given the DD-finite functions $f(x) = \sin(x)$ and $g(x) = 1/\cos(x)$ by their annihilating operators $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ and \mathcal{B} of orders $d_1 = d_2 = 1$, we seek to compute an annihilating operator for $h(x) = f(x)g(x)$. By Proposition 3.2 we have that the bound for the order of such an operator is $d = d_1 d_2 = 1$. In order to compute the coefficients of this operator, we need to express $h(x)$ and $h'(x)$ in terms of the basis $\{f(x)g(x)\}$ of the tensor product space:

$$\begin{aligned} h(x) &= f(x)g(x), \\ h'(x) &= f'(x)g(x) + f(x)g'(x). \end{aligned}$$

Using their defining operators, the derivatives f' and g' can be represented in terms of f and g as

$$f'(x) = \cos(x)/\sin(x)f(x), \quad \text{and} \quad g'(x) = \sin(x)/\cos(x)g(x).$$

Hence we can write

$$h'(x) = \left(\frac{\cos(x)}{\sin(x)} + \frac{\sin(x)}{\cos(x)} \right) f(x)g(x).$$

For the sought annihilating operator we set up an ansatz with undetermined coefficients

$$\alpha_0 h(x) + \alpha_1 h'(x) = 0,$$

plug in the representations for h and h' and equate the coefficients of basis elements to zero (which is in this case trivial). Thus we obtain that

$$\alpha_0 = -\alpha_1 \left(\frac{\cos(x)}{\sin(x)} + \frac{\sin(x)}{\cos(x)} \right).$$

With a little simplification we can write the resulting operator as

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}} = -\sin(x)\cos(x)\partial + 1 \in \mathbf{D}(K[x])[\partial],$$

and indeed $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \cdot \tan(x) = 0$.

As second example we compute again an annihilating operator for the tangent, but this time starting from the operators \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} .

Example 4.2 (Tangent with order 2). Given f and g by the defining differential equations $f''(x) + f(x) = 0$ and $\cos(x)g'(x) - \sin(x)g(x) = 0$ we conclude using Proposition 3.2 that $h(x) = f(x)g(x)$ satisfies a linear differential equation of order at most two. As basis for the tensor product space we have $\{f(x)g(x), f'(x)g(x)\}$. We need to express h, h' , and h'' in terms of these basis elements and start by computing

$$\begin{aligned} h(x) &= f(x)g(x), \\ h'(x) &= f'(x)g(x) + f(x)g'(x), \\ h''(x) &= f''(x)g(x) + 2f'(x)g'(x) + f(x)g''(x). \end{aligned}$$

Using \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} we can replace f'', g', g'' above and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h(x) &= f(x)g(x), \\ h'(x) &= \frac{\sin(x)}{\cos(x)}f(x)g(x) + f'(x)g(x), \\ h''(x) &= 2\frac{\sin^2(x)}{\cos^2(x)}f(x)g(x) + 2\frac{\sin(x)}{\cos(x)}f'(x)g(x). \end{aligned}$$

We plug these expressions into the ansatz $\alpha_0 h(x) + \alpha_1 h'(x) + \alpha_2 h''(x) = 0$ for a second order differential equation. Equating the coefficients of the basis elements to zero leads to the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sin(x)/\cos(x) & 2\sin^2(x)/\cos^2(x) \\ 0 & 1 & 2\sin(x)/\cos(x) \end{pmatrix},$$

whose nullspace has dimension one and is generated by the vector $(0, -2\sin(x), \cos(x))^T$. From this we obtain another annihilating operator for the tangent, namely,

$$\mathcal{C} = \cos(x)\partial^2 - 2\sin(x)\partial \in \mathbf{D}(K[x])[\partial].$$

Obviously, the differential equations given by the annihilators \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} , etc. do not yet define uniquely an element in the ring of formal power series. For this we need to also take into account certain initial values. This topic is addressed next.

5. Initial values and Uniqueness

Naturally when working with differential equations, initial values need to be given to characterize one solution to the given equation uniquely. Under certain regularity assumptions on the coefficients, existence and uniqueness of a solution can be proven [17]. This solution is formed as a linear combination of d linearly independent solutions, where d is the order of the differential equation. The coefficients in this linear combination are given by d initial conditions.

In the case of formal power series $f(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} f_n x^n$, the only evaluation possible is at the origin with $f(0)$ equal to the constant coefficient in this series expansion. Hence, we define the *solution space* of a given differential operator \mathcal{A} as the K -vector space of formal power series annihilated by \mathcal{A} .

In this section we address the issue of initial values $(f(0), f'(0), f''(0), \dots)$ to define the solution within $K[[x]]$ of the given linear differential equation uniquely. This is in particular of importance, if the regularity assumptions on the leading coefficient do not hold.

As a first motivating example consider the simple differential operators $\mathcal{B}_m = x\partial - m$ for $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. The solutions are of the form Cx^m , for some $C \in K$. It is easy to see that these equations fix the first m initial values to be 0 and the $(m+1)$ th initial value determines C (indeed, $f^{(m)}(0) = m!C$).

Another example is given by the operator $\mathcal{C} = x\partial^2 + \partial + x$. This operator annihilates the Bessel functions of first ($J_0(x)$) and second ($Y_0(x)$) kind [5]. The latter function $Y_0(x)$ has a pole at the origin and is not in $K[[x]]$. In fact, from the equation it follows that $f'(0)$ has to vanish and the value of f at zero defines uniquely an element of $K[[x]]$.

Similar to the case of D-finite functions we transfer the problem of computing initial values to the level of the coefficient sequences [12]. We provide a method to compute the dimension of the solution space for a linear differential equation and divide this process into three steps:

1. Set up an infinite linear system for the coefficient sequence from the differential equation.
2. Examine the structure of this linear system.
3. Relate the dimension of the solution space to the rank of a finite matrix.

In the following we denote the coefficient sequence of an element $f(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} f_n x^n \in K[[x]]$ by $\mathbf{f} = (f_n)_{n \geq 0}$. Let R be a differential subring of $K[[x]]$ and let us consider an operator $\mathcal{A} \in R[\partial]$ with

$$\mathcal{A} = r_d \partial^d + \dots + r_1 \partial + r_0$$

for some $r_j(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} r_{j;n} x^n \in R$. Now $\mathcal{A} \cdot f = 0$ if and only if all its coefficients vanish, i.e., iff

$$\sum_{i=0}^d \sum_{k=0}^n r_{i;n-k} (k+i)^{\underline{i}} f_{k+i} = 0, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $a^{\underline{m}} = a \cdot (a-1) \cdots (a-m+1)$ denotes the falling factorial. Reorganizing this sum, one can extract the coefficients f_k from the innermost sum and we obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n+d} \left(\sum_{l=\max\{0, k-n\}}^{\min\{d, k\}} k^{\underline{l}} r_{l;n-k+l} \right) f_k = 0, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

as the condition for \mathcal{A} annihilating f on the sequence level. Now let's define the infinite dimensional matrix $M^{\mathcal{A}} = (m_{n,k})_{n,k \geq 0}$ with

$$m_{n,k} = \begin{cases} \sum_{l=\max\{0, k-n\}}^{\min\{d, k\}} k^{\underline{l}} r_{l;n-k+l}, & k \leq n+d, \\ 0, & k > n+d. \end{cases}$$

With this definition we have that $\mathcal{A} \cdot f = 0$ if and only if $M^{\mathcal{A}} \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{0}$. These matrices have almost an upper right triangular structure since $m_{n,k} = 0$ for $k > n+d$. If we consider the strip $n \leq k \leq n+d$, then these matrix entries can be computed as follows for each $n \geq d$:

$$m_{n,k} = \sum_{l=\max\{0, k-n\}}^{\min\{d, k\}} k^{\underline{l}} r_{l;n-k+l} = \sum_{l=k-n}^d k^{\underline{l}} r_{l;n-k+l}.$$

Rewriting $k = n+i$, for $i = 0, \dots, d$, we obtain for each i the following polynomials in n of degree at most $(d-i)$,

$$m_{n,n+i} = \sum_{l=i}^d (n+i)^{\underline{l}} r_{l;l-i} =: \varphi_i(n).$$

We single out these polynomials as they play an important role in understanding the matrix $M^{\mathcal{A}}$.

For computing the number of initial values necessary to define a formal power series uniquely with a given operator, it plays an essential role whether or not the leading coefficient vanishes at $x = 0$. Moreover, it may happen during computations (e.g., execution of closure properties) that *all* coefficients vanish at zero. We want to exclude that case and below we show that for any DD-finite function an operator with this property exists.

Lemma 5.1. *Let R be a differential subring of $K[[x]]$. Then $f \in \mathcal{D}(R)$ if and only if there exists an operator $\mathcal{A} = r_d \partial^d + \dots + r_0 \in R[\partial]$ annihilating f with the property $\exists j \in \{0, \dots, d\}: r_j(0) \neq 0$.*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{D}(R)$ with annihilating operator $\mathcal{A} = r_d \partial^d + \dots + r_0 \in R[\partial]$. Assume that $r_j(0) = 0$ for all $j = 0, \dots, d$ (else there is nothing to prove). Then there exists a maximal index k such that $r_{j;l} = 0$ for all $j = 0, \dots, d$ and for all $l = 0, \dots, k$. Thus the factor x^k can be pulled out of each coefficient $r_j(x)$. The operator $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = x^{-k} \mathcal{A}$ is in $R[\partial]$, annihilates f , and not all its coefficients vanish at $x = 0$. \square

By Lemma 5.2 below, it follows that for all operators with at least one coefficient function *not* vanishing at zero, also not all polynomials $\varphi_i(n)$ vanish identically.

Lemma 5.2. *Let R be a differential subring of $K[[x]]$ and $\mathcal{A} = r_d \partial^d + \dots + r_0 \in R[\partial]$. If there exists an index $i \in \{0, \dots, d\}$ s.t. $r_i(0) \neq 0$, then $\varphi_i(n) \neq 0$.*

Proof. Let i be s.t. $r_i(0) = r_{i;0} \neq 0$. Now $\varphi_i(n) = 0$, iff all its coefficients vanish. But

$$\varphi_i(n) = \sum_{l=i}^d (n+i)^l r_{l;l-i} = (n+i)^i r_{i;0} + \sum_{l=i+1}^d (n+i)^l r_{l;l-i},$$

so at least one coefficient is non-zero. \square

Operators for which at least one of the coefficient functions does *not* vanish at zero, we call *zero-reduced* and the maximal index i , $0 \leq i \leq d$, for which $\varphi_i(n) \neq 0$ we denote by $\nu(\mathcal{A})$.

Corollary 5.3. *Let R be a differential subring of $K[[x]]$ and \mathcal{A} a zero-reduced operator of order d . Then for $M^{\mathcal{A}} = (m_{n,k})_{n,k \geq 0}$ and $n \geq d$,*

$$m_{n,k} = 0 \text{ for all } k > n + \nu(\mathcal{A}).$$

Proof. If $\nu(\mathcal{A}) = d$ the result follows from the definition of $m_{n,k}$, else from the definition of $\nu(\mathcal{A})$. \square

In order to discuss which initial values are needed to uniquely define a formal power series annihilated by a zero-reduced operator \mathcal{A} , we define finite submatrices of $M^{\mathcal{A}}$ and an auxiliary index.

Definition 5.4. Let R be a differential subring of $K[[x]]$ and $\mathcal{A} \in R[\partial]$ a zero-reduced operator of order d .

- We define the *p th recursion matrix* as $M_p^{\mathcal{A}} = (m_{n,k})_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq p + \nu(\mathcal{A}) \\ 0 \leq n \leq p}}$, i.e., the upper left submatrix of $M^{\mathcal{A}}$ of dimension $(p+1) \times (p + \nu(\mathcal{A}) + 1)$.
- Let $\varphi(n) = \varphi_{\nu(\mathcal{A})}(n)$ and μ be the maximal integer root of $\varphi(n)$ or 0, if no such root exists. Then we define $\lambda(\mathcal{A}) := \max\{d, \mu\}$ and call it *singular value*.

With these notations at hand, we are in the position to state our result about the dimension of the solution space of a zero-reduced operator. First note that for any $p \geq \lambda(\mathcal{A})$, the dimension of the nullspace of $M_p^{\mathcal{A}}$ does not change any more.

Proposition 5.5. *Let R be a differential subring of $K[[x]]$ and $\mathcal{A} \in R[\partial]$ a zero-reduced operator of order d . Let $p > \lambda(\mathcal{A})$. Then:*

$$\text{rk}(M_p^{\mathcal{A}}) = 1 + \text{rk}(M_{p-1}^{\mathcal{A}}).$$

Proof. Using Corollary 5.3 we have, for $p \geq d$ that the matrix $M_p^{\mathcal{A}}$ has the following recursive shape:

$$M_p^{\mathcal{A}} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{p-1}^{\mathcal{A}} & 0 \\ * & \varphi(p) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, as we have $p > \lambda(\mathcal{A})$, we know that $p > d$ and also that $\varphi(p) \neq 0$. Hence, the last row of the matrix is always linearly independent, which makes that

$$\text{rk}(M_p^{\mathcal{A}}) = 1 + \text{rk}(M_{p-1}^{\mathcal{A}}).$$

□

Proposition 5.6. *Let R be a differential subring of $K[[x]]$ and $\mathcal{A} \in R[\partial]$ a zero-reduced operator of order d . Let v be a vector in the right nullspace of $M_{\lambda(\mathcal{A})}^{\mathcal{A}}$. Then there is a unique sequence $\mathbf{f} = (f_n)_{n \geq 0}$ such that $f_i = v_i$ for $i = 0, \dots, \lambda(\mathcal{A}) + \nu(\mathcal{A})$ and, for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$M_p^{\mathcal{A}} \mathbf{f}_p = 0,$$

where $\mathbf{f}_p = (f_0, \dots, f_{p+\nu(\mathcal{A})})$.

Proof. We build the sequence \mathbf{f} inductively and initialize $f_i = v_i$ for $i = 0, \dots, \lambda(\mathcal{A}) + \nu(\mathcal{A})$. As v is in the right nullspace of $M_{\lambda(\mathcal{A})}^{\mathcal{A}}$ and because of the block structure of the matrix, obviously, for $0 \leq p \leq \lambda(\mathcal{A})$,

$$M_p^{\mathcal{A}} \mathbf{f}_p = 0.$$

Now suppose we have constructed \mathbf{f}_n such that $M_p^{\mathcal{A}} \mathbf{f}_p = 0$ for all $p = 0, \dots, n$. Using again the block structure of the matrix it follows immediately that

$$M_{n+1}^{\mathcal{A}} \mathbf{f}_{n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} M_n^{\mathcal{A}} & \mathbf{0} \\ m_{n+1,0} \dots m_{n+1,n+\nu(\mathcal{A})} & \varphi(n+1) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{f}_n \\ f_{n+\nu(\mathcal{A})+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ * \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that $\varphi(n+1) \neq 0$, hence

$$f_{n+\nu(\mathcal{A})+1} = -(m_{n+1,0}f_0 + \dots + m_{n+1,n+\nu(\mathcal{A})}f_{n+\nu(\mathcal{A})}) / \varphi(n+1)$$

defines the unique extension. □

The sequence \mathbf{f} constructed in the previous proposition yields the formal power series annihilated by \mathcal{A} . Hence we can conclude with the explicit formula for the dimension of the solution space, which is equivalent to the number of initial values needed to define a unique solution of \mathcal{A} [7].

Theorem 5.7 (Dimension of solution space). *Let R be a differential subring of $K[[x]]$ and $\mathcal{A} \in R[\partial]$ a zero-reduced operator. Then the dimension of the solution space of \mathcal{A} within $K[[x]]$ is the dimension of the right nullspace of $M_{\lambda(\mathcal{A})}^{\mathcal{A}}$, i.e.:*

$$\lambda(\mathcal{A}) + \nu(\mathcal{A}) - \text{rk}(M_{\lambda(\mathcal{A})}^{\mathcal{A}}) + 1.$$

Let $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$ be a basis for the nullspace of $M_{\lambda(\mathcal{A})}^{\mathcal{A}}$, i.e., the dimension of the solution space of the operator \mathcal{A} is r . Then the first $\lambda(\mathcal{A}) + \nu(\mathcal{A}) + 1$ values of the coefficient sequence \mathbf{f} are given as $\mathbf{f}_{\lambda(\mathcal{A})} = \gamma_1 v_1 + \dots + \gamma_r v_r$. Obviously the elements f_m for which all components $v_{j;m} = 0$, $j = 1, \dots, r$, are fixed to be zero. Hence we know how many and which initial values are necessary to define a unique solution in the ring of formal power series.

Now we apply the results developed in this section to the previously presented examples.

Example 5.8. Let $\mathcal{C} = x\partial^2 + \partial + x$ be the annihilating second order operator for the Bessel functions mentioned at the beginning for this section. This operator is already zero-reduced since $[\partial^1]\mathcal{C} = 1$. Hence, we can compute the polynomials φ as described above yielding

$$\varphi_0(n) = 0, \quad \varphi_1(n) = (n+1)^2, \quad \varphi_2(n) = 0.$$

From this is easily obtained that $\nu(\mathcal{C}) = 1$ and that the singular value is $\max\{-1, 2\} = 2$. Hence, to determine the dimension and structure of the solution space of \mathcal{C} within $K[[x]]$ we need to consider the matrix

$$M_2^{\mathcal{C}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 9 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This matrix has a one-dimensional right nullspace with basis $\{(1, 0, -1/4, 0)^T\}$. Hence to define a unique solution in $K[[x]]$ of the equation given by \mathcal{C} one initial value needs to be specified (not two as the order would indicate). Furthermore we have that f_0 or f_2 need to be specified and that f_1, f_3 are fixed to be zero.

Example 5.9. Next consider the linear differential operator $\mathcal{B}_m = x\partial - m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ with general solution Cx^m . This operator is also already zero-reduced and its order is one. We have that $\varphi_0(n) = n - m$ and $\varphi_1(n) = 0$. Hence, $\nu(\mathcal{B}_m) = 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and the singular value is m . Now we can set up the matrix $M_m^{\mathcal{B}_m}$ which has the following structure

$$M_m^{\mathcal{B}_m} = \begin{pmatrix} -m & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -m+1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -m+2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For any m , the right nullspace of this matrix has dimension one and is generated by the vector $(0, \dots, 0, 1)^T$. Consequently f_m needs to be specified and all $f_j = 0$ for $j = 0, \dots, m-1$.

As final examples, let us consider the tangent. In Examples 4.1 and 4.2 two different operators annihilating the tangent have been computed. Next we determine how many and which initial values are needed to uniquely define the tangent. Note that given $f(x) = \sin(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} f_n x^n$ and $g(x) = 1/\cos(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} g_n x^n$ and their initial values, the coefficients of the tangent $h(x) = f(x)g(x)$ can be computed using the Cauchy product $\sum_{n \geq 0} (\sum_{m=0}^n f_{n-m} g_m) x^n$.

Example 5.10 (Continuation of Ex. 4.1). Let $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} = -\sin(x)\cos(x)\partial + 1$. This operator is zero-reduced and of order one, and we have that $\varphi_0(n) = n - 1$ and $\varphi_1(n) = 0$. Hence, $\nu(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}) = 0$ and, since $\varphi_0(1) = 0$, we have that $\lambda(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}) = 1$. The first recursion matrix of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and a basis for its one-dimensional nullspace is given by $(0, 1)^T$. From this we obtain that $h(0) = 0$ and the following exact representation of the tangent,

$$\begin{cases} -\sin(x)\cos(x)h'(x) + h(x) = 0 \\ h'(0) = 1 \end{cases}$$

Example 5.11. Let $\mathcal{C} = \cos(x)\partial^2 - 2\sin(x)\partial$. This operator is zero-reduced and of order two, and we have that $\varphi_0(n) = -\frac{1}{2}n(n+3)$, $\varphi_1(n) = 0$, $\varphi_2(n) = (n+1)(n+2)$.

Hence, $\nu(\mathcal{C}) = 2$ and, since $\varphi_2(n)$ has no positive root, we have that $\lambda(\mathcal{C}) = 2$. The second recursion matrix of \mathcal{C} is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -5 & 0 & 12 \end{pmatrix},$$

and a basis for its two-dimensional nullspace is given by $\{(1, 0, 0, 0, 0)^T, (0, 1, 0, 1/3, 0)^T\}$. From this we conclude that the first and second initial value need to be given and we obtain the following exact representation of the tangent,

$$\begin{cases} \cos(x)h''(x) - 2\sin(x)h'(x) = 0, \\ h(0) = 0, h'(0) = 1. \end{cases}$$

6. Conclusions

In this paper we have introduced a generalization of the class of D-finite functions and derived several closure properties for this new class and discussed the issue of initial values in the ring of formal power series. The results presented here have been implemented in the open source mathematical software SAGE [21] and will be described in the forthcoming paper [8].

Among the known closure properties for D-finite functions a prominent one that has not been discussed here is the composition with algebraic functions. Also this closure property carries over to the setting of DD-finite functions. Furthermore, it can be shown that the composition of two D^k -finite functions is in a different layer. Also these closure properties have been implemented already and an article on these results is in preparation.

There are still many interesting open topics related to DD-finite functions, such as characterizing the different layers of $D^k(R)$. We plan to apply our procedures to prove identities on DD-finite (but not D-finite) functions. In this context for D-finite functions a useful tool often is to apply first some guessing routine [18, 14, 9] and the use, e.g., closure properties to prove the guessed identity. Among the open topics for DD-finite functions, the development of an efficient guessing tool is certainly one of the more interesting and pressing ones.

- [1] S. Abramov, M. Barkatou, D. Khmel'nov, On full rank differential systems with power series coefficients, *J. Symbolic Computation* (2015).
- [2] S. Abramov, D. Khmel'nov, Regular solutions of linear differential systems with power series coefficients, *Programming and Computer Software* 40 (2) (2014) 98–106.
- [3] G. Andrews, R. Askey, R. Roy, *Special Functions, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [4] F. Chyzak, Gröbner bases, symbolic summation and symbolic integration, in: *Gröbner bases and applications (Linz, 1998)*, vol. 251 of London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998, pp. 32–60.
- [5] *NIST Digital Library of Mathematical Functions*, <http://dlmf.nist.gov/>, Release 1.0.16 of 2017-09-18, f. W. J. Olver, A. B. Olde Daalhuis, D. W. Lozier, B. I. Schneider, R. F. Boisvert, C. W. Clark, B. R. Miller and B. V. Saunders, eds.
- [6] P. Flajolet, S. Gerhold, B. Salvy, On the non-holonomic character of logarithms, powers, and the n th prime function, *Electr. J. Comb.* 11 (2).
- [7] R. Horn, C. Johnson, *Matrix analysis*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1985.
- [8] A. Jiménez-Pastor, V. Pillwein, Algorithmic Arithmetics with DD-Finite Functions, in preparation.
- [9] M. Kauers, *Guessing Handbook*, RISC Report Series 09-07, Research Institute for Symbolic Computation (RISC), Johannes Kepler University Linz (2009).
- [10] M. Kauers, The Holonomic Toolkit, in: J. Blümlein, C. Schneider (eds.), *Computer Algebra in Quantum Field Theory: Integration, Summation and Special Functions*, Springer, 2013, pp. 119–144.
- [11] M. Kauers, M. Jaroschek, F. Johansson, Ore Polynomials in Sage, in: J. Gutierrez, J. Schicho, M. Weimann (eds.), *Computer Algebra and Polynomials*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2014.
- [12] M. Kauers, P. Paule, *The Concrete Tetrahedron: Symbolic Sums, Recurrence Equations, Generating Functions, Asymptotic Estimates*, 1st ed., Springer Publishing Company, Incorporated, 2011.
- [13] C. Koutschan, *Advanced Applications of the Holonomic Systems Approach*, Ph.D. thesis, RISC-Linz, Johannes Kepler University (September 2009).
- [14] C. Mallinger, *Algorithmic Manipulations and Transformations of Univariate Holonomic Functions and Sequences*, Master's thesis, RISC, J. Kepler University (August 1996).

- [15] V. Pillwein, Computer Algebra Tools for Special Functions in High Order Finite Element Methods, Ph.D. thesis, Johannes Kepler University Linz (2008).
- [16] E. Rainville, Special Functions, 1st ed., Chelsea Publishing Co., Bronx, N.Y., 1971.
- [17] E. Rainville, P. Bedient, Elementary Differential Equations, 4th ed., The Macmillan Company, New York. Collier-Macmillan Limited, London, 1969.
- [18] B. Salvy, P. Zimmermann, Gfun: a Maple package for the manipulation of generating and holonomic functions in one variable, ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software 20 (2) (1994) 163–177.
- [19] R. Stanley, Differentiably finite power series, European Journal of Combinatorics 1 (2) (1980) 175–188.
- [20] R. Stanley, Enumerative Combinatorics, vol. 2, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [21] W. Stein, et al., Sage Mathematics Software (Version 8.1), The Sage Development Team, <http://www.sagemath.org> (2017).
- [22] M. van Hoeij, Formal solutions and factorization of differential operators with power series coefficients, J. Symbolic Comput. 24 (1) (1997) 1–30.

Technical Reports of the Doctoral Program

“Computational Mathematics”

2017

- 2017-01** E. Buckwar, A. Thalhammer: *Importance Sampling Techniques for Stochastic Partial Differential Equations* January 2017. Eds.: U. Langer, R. Ramlau
- 2017-02** C. Hofer, I. Touloupoulos: *Discontinuous Galerkin Isogeometric Analysis for parametrizations with overlapping regions* June 2017. Eds.: U. Langer, V. Pillwein
- 2017-03** C. Hofer, S. Takacs: *Inexact Dual-Primal Isogeometric Tearing and Interconnecting Methods* June 2017. Eds.: B. Jüttler, V. Pillwein
- 2017-04** M. Neumüller, A. Thalhammer: *Combining Space-Time Multigrid Techniques with Multilevel Monte Carlo Methods for SDEs* June 2017. Eds.: U. Langer, E. Buckwar
- 2017-05** C. Hofer, U. Langer, M. Neumüller: *Time-Multipatch Discontinuous Galerkin Space-Time Isogeometric Analysis of Parabolic Evolution Problems* August 2017. Eds.: V. Pillwein, B. Jüttler
- 2017-06** M. Neumüller, A. Thalhammer: *A Fully Parallelizable Space-Time Multilevel Monte Carlo Method for Stochastic Differential Equations with Additive Noise* September 2017. Eds.: U. Langer, E. Buckwar
- 2017-07** A. Schafelner: *Space-time Finite Element Methods for Parabolic Initial-Boundary Problems with Variable Coefficients* September 2017. Eds.: U. Langer, B. Jüttler
- 2017-08** R. Wagner, C. Hofer, R. Ramlau: *Point Spread Function Reconstruction for Single-Conjugate Adaptive Optics* December 2017. Eds.: U. Langer, V. Pillwein
- 2017-09** M. Hauer, B. Jüttler, J. Schicho: *Projective and Affine Symmetries and Equivalences of Rational and Polynomial Surfaces* December 2017. Eds.: U. Langer, P. Paule
- 2017-10** A. Jiménez-Pastor, V. Pillwein: *A Computable Extension for Holonomic Functions: DD-Finite Functions* December 2017. Eds.: P. Paule, M. Kauers

2016

- 2016-01** P. Gangl, U. Langer: *A Local Mesh Modification Strategy for Interface Problems with Application to Shape and Topology Optimization* November 2016. Eds.: B. Jüttler, R. Ramlau
- 2016-02** C. Hofer: *Parallelization of Continuous and Discontinuous Galerkin Dual-Primal Isogeometric Tearing and Interconnecting Methods* November 2016. Eds.: U. Langer, W. Zulehner
- 2016-03** C. Hofer: *Analysis of Discontinuous Galerkin Dual-Primal Isogeometric Tearing and Interconnecting Methods* November 2016. Eds.: U. Langer, B. Jüttler
- 2016-04** A. Seiler, B. Jüttler: *Adaptive Numerical Quadrature for the Isogeometric Discontinuous Galerkin Method* November 2016. Eds.: U. Langer, J. Schicho
- 2016-05** S. Hubmer, A. Neubauer, R. Ramlau, H. U. Voss: *On the Parameter Estimation Problem of Magnetic Resonance Advection Imaging* December 2016. Eds.: B. Jüttler, U. Langer
- 2016-06** S. Hubmer, R. Ramlau: *Convergence Analysis of a Two-Point Gradient Method for Nonlinear Ill-Posed Problems* December 2016. Eds.: B. Jüttler, U. Langer

Doctoral Program

“Computational Mathematics”

Director:

Prof. Dr. Peter Paule
Research Institute for Symbolic Computation

Deputy Director:

Prof. Dr. Bert Jüttler
Institute of Applied Geometry

Address:

Johannes Kepler University Linz
Doctoral Program “Computational Mathematics”
Altenbergerstr. 69
A-4040 Linz
Austria
Tel.: ++43 732-2468-6840

E-Mail:

office@dk-compmath.jku.at

Homepage:

<http://www.dk-compmath.jku.at>